arXiv:1506.07221v1 [math.DS] 24 Jun 2015

HÉNON RENORMALIZATION IN ARBITRARY DIMENSION : INVARIANT SPACE UNDER RENORMALIZATION OPERATOR

YOUNG WOO NAM

Abstract. Infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map in arbitrary finite dimension is considered. The set, $\mathcal{N}$ of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps satisfying the certain condition is invariant under renormalization operator. The Cantor attractor of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map, F in $\mathcal{N}$ has *unbounded geometry* almost everywhere in the parameter space of the universal number which corresponds to the average Jacobian of two dimensional map. This is the extension of the same result in $\mathcal{N}$ for three dimensional infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps.

Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Preliminaries 4
3 An invariant space under renormalization 7
4 Universal numbers with $\partial_{\mathbf{z}}\boldsymbol{\delta}$ and $\partial_y \varepsilon$ 10
5 Recursive formula of Ψ^n_k 16
6 Unbounded geometry on the Cantor set 24
7 Non rigidity on the critical Cantor set 33
A Recursive formula of $D\boldsymbol{\delta}_1$ 37
B Critical point of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map 40

1. Introduction

The universality of one dimensional dynamical system was discovered by Feigenbaum and independently by Coullet and Tresser in the mid 1970's and universality of higher dimensional maps is conjectured by Coullet and Tresser in [CT]. Hyperbolicity at the fixed point of renormalization operator is finally proved by Lyubich in [Lyu] using quadratic-like maps in one dimensional holomorphic dynamical systems. The similar universal properties are expected in higher dimensional maps which are strongly dissipative and close to the one dimensional maps. In particular, renormalizable maps with *periodic doubling type* are interesting in two or higher dimension. Universality of two dimensional strongly dissipative infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps is justified in [CLM]. Cantor attractor of two dimensional Hénon-like maps is the counterpart of that of one dimensional maps but it has different small scale geometric properties. In particular, it has non rigidity and unbounded

---

Date: June 23, 2015.

geometry. These geometric properties are generalized in special classes of the highly dissipative three dimensional Hénon-like family in [Nam2, Nam3].

1.1. Hénon maps and bifurcation of the homoclinic tangency.

Hénon map is a polynomial diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^2$ to itself as follows

$$H_{a,\,b}(x,y) = (1 - ax^2 + y,\ bx).$$

A famous conjecture is the existence of *strange attractor* at the parameter $a = 1.4$ and $b = 0.3$. The first significant achievement about the Hénon map with parameter space (a, b) was done by Benedics and Carleson in [BC]. There exist strange attractors for the positive density of the parameter space, (a, b) such that $a_0 < a < 2$ and $b < b_0$ where a_0 is close to 2 and b_0 is small. This parameter values which are considered in [BC] is a generalization of one dimensional Misiuriewicz maps in [Jak]. Jakobson proved that the maps $1 - ax^2$ which have absolutely continuous invariant measure with respect to Lebegue measure has positive density on the parameter space. So is in the Hénon family in [BC]. Wang and Young used the certain geometric conditions to generalize Hénon family in [WY1] with statistical properties. Furthermore, it is generalized to arbitrary finite dimension in [WY2] with the rank one attractor, that is, attractor with the neutral or repulsive direction is one dimensional.

Let us consider a homoclinic tangency of two dimensional maps. Then the dimension of both unstable and stable manifold at the homoclinic point is one. After bifurcation of homoclinic tangency, stable and unstable manifold are (transversally) intersected around the homoclinic point. Let us choose a bounded region on which this bifurcation occurs and consider the first return map, H. Then after appropriate smooth coordinate change, the image of horizontal lines in the bounded region is the vertical lines. Then we obtain that the simplest non-linear map which satisfies the above properties, which is called Hénon map

$$H_{a,\,b}(x,y) = (x^2 - a + by,\ x).$$

However, in general the first coordinate map of the first return map is not a polynomial but is a perturbation of a unimodal one dimensional map, say $f(x)$. Then the first return map is of the following form

$$F(x,y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y),\ x)$$

where $f(x)$ is a unimodal map. We call this map *Hénon-like map.* Moreover, a perturbation of homoclinic tangency could occur in higher dimension. In order to make that the first return map has Hénon-like form in the first two coordinates, let us assume that dimension of unstable manifold at the homoclinic point is one. Then the first return map in higher dimension has first two coordinates similar to the two dimensional Hénon-like map after smooth coordinate change which flattens the stable manifold at the homoclinic point in the bounded region

$$F(x, y, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m),\ x,\ \bullet\,)$$

where $f(x)$ is a unimodal map. If the maximal backward invariant set has only one dimensional neutral or unstable direction, then it is called *rank one attractor.* This viewpoint is reflected in the paper of Wang and Young, [WY2] for the maps in higher dimension on the chaotic region in the parameter space.

1.2. Statement of result. Period doubling renormalization of analytic Hénon-like maps was constructed on [CLM] by de Carvalho, Lyubich and Martens. The set of renormalizable Hénon-like maps is a counterpart of the set of Hénon maps in chaotic region. Moreover, we expect the map F has no homoclinic tangency between the stable and unstable manifolds of the regular fixed point. Hénon renormalization is extended to three dimensional Hénon-like maps in [Nam1]. Geometric property of the Cantor attractor, for example, *unbounded geometry* of infinitely renormalizable dimensional Hénon-like maps was extened in certain invariant space of three dimensional maps under renormalization operator in [Nam2, Nam3]. A particular set of three dimensional Hénon-like maps, say $\mathcal{N}$ in which every Hénon-like map, say F, satisfies the following condition

$$\partial_y \delta \circ (F(x,y,z)) + \partial_z \delta \circ (F(x,y,z)) \cdot \partial_x \delta(x,y,z) \equiv 0. \tag{1.1}$$

where $F(x,y,z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y,z),\ x,\ \delta(x,y,z))$. Denote the set of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps by $\mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ where B is the domain of Hénon-like maps. Then $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ was found as an invariant space under renormalization operator in [Nam2].

Hénon renormalization of period doubling type in arbitrary finite dimension is defined in [Nam4] and a space invariant under renormalization operator. Hénon-like map in higher dimension is defined as follows

$$F(x,y,\mathbf{z}) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y,\mathbf{z}),\ x,\ \boldsymbol{\delta}(x,y,\mathbf{z}))$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m)$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\delta^1, \delta^2, \delta^3, \ldots, \delta^m)$ is a map from the $m+2$ dimensional hypercube B to $\mathbb{R}^m$ for $m \geq 1$. Hénon-like map is generalized in higher dimension as a model of the first return map of perturbation of the homoclinic tangency of *sectional dissipative map* at fixed points. In this paper, we find the invariant space which is the extension of the space $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ in arbitrary finite dimension and prove the unnbounded geometry of Cantor attractor of each element in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$. Many parts of this paper are self contained although most ideas and notations are the same as those in [Nam2].

Definition 1.1 (Definition 3.1)**.** Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of $m+2$ dimensional renormalizable Hénon-like maps such that each map $F \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies the following equation

$$\partial_y \delta^j \circ F(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ F(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l(w) = 0$$

where $w = (x,y,\mathbf{z}) \in \mathrm{Dom}(F)$ and $F(w) = \big(f(x) - \varepsilon(w),\ x,\ \delta^1(w), \delta^2(w), \ldots, \delta^m(w) \big)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.4)**.** *The space $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ is invariant under renormalization, that is, if $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$, then $RF \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$.*

Hénon-like maps in the above space has generic unbounded geometry with the universal number b_1 corresponding the average Jacobian of two dimensional Hénon-like map.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 6.7)**.** *Let F_{b_1} be an element of parametrized space in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ with $b_1 = b_F / b_{\mathbf{z}}$ where b_F is the average Jacobian of F and $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ is the number defined in Section 4.3. Then there exists a small interval $[0, b_\bullet]$ for which there exists a G_δ subset $S \subset [0, b_\bullet]$*

with full Lebesgue measure such that the critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_{F_{b_1}}$ *has unbounded geometry for all* $b_1 \in S$*.*

There exists non rigidity between Cantor attractors of Hénon-like maps.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 7.2)**.** *Let Hénon-like maps* F *and* $\widetilde{F}$ *be in* $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$*. Let* b_1 *be the ratio* $b_F/b_{\mathbf{z}}$ *where* b_F *is the average Jacobian and* $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ *for* F *is the number defined in Proposition 4.2. The number* $\widetilde{b}_1$ *is defined by the similar way for the map* $\widetilde{F}$*. Let* $\phi \colon \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{F}} \to \mathcal{O}_F$ *be a homeomorphism which conjugate* $F_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ *and* $\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{F}}}$ *and* $\phi(\tau_{\widetilde{F}}) = \tau_F$*. If* $b_1 > \widetilde{b}_1$*, then the Hölder exponent of* ϕ *is not greater than* $\dfrac{1}{2}\left(1 + \dfrac{\log b_1}{\log \widetilde{b}_1}\right)$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $m+2$ dimensional Hénon-like map be the map from the $m+2$ dimensional hypercube, B to $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ which is of the following form

$$F(x,y,\mathbf{z}) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y,\mathbf{z}),\ x,\ \boldsymbol{\delta}(x,y,\mathbf{z})) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m)$, f is a unimodal map on the close interval $\pi_x(B)$, ε and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is a map from B to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$ respectively. In addition to the above definition we assume that F is an orientation preserving analytic map and both $\|\,\varepsilon\|$ and $\|\,\boldsymbol{\delta}\|$ bounded above by $C\bar{\varepsilon}$ for sufficiently small positive $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and for some $C > 0$. Then the Hénon-like map in arbitrary finite dimension is the simplest model as the first return map of the homoclinic bifurcation with one dimensional unstable direction and sectional dissipative map with strong contractable directions.

Let f be the unimodal map on the closed interval I which contains the critical point and the critical value such that $f(I) \subset I$. The map f is called *renormalizable* with periodic doubling type if there exists a closed subinterval $J \neq I$ which contains the critical point, c_f of f and J is (forward) invariant under f^2 and $f^2(c_f) \in \partial J$. Thus if f is renormalizable, then we can choose the smallest interval J_f satisfying the above properties. The conjugation of the appropriate affine conjugation rescales J_f to I and it defines the renormalization of f, $Rf \colon I \to I$. For the renormalization of smooth map on the closed interval, for example, see [BB]. If f is infinitely renormalizable, then there exists the renormalization fixed point, f under the renormalization operator. Moreover, the *scaling factor* of f_* is

$$\sigma = \frac{|J_{f_*}|}{|I|}$$

and $\lambda = 1/\sigma = 1/2.6\ldots$. If the unimodal map f has two fixed point on the interval $I \equiv [-c, c]$ for some $c > 0$ and both $\|\,\varepsilon\|$ and $\|\,\boldsymbol{\delta}\|$ is small enough, then the Hénon-like map F defined at (2.1) has only two fixed points on the hypercube $[-c,c]^{m+2}$. Let β_0 be one fixed point which has positive eigenvalues and β_1 be the other fixed point which has both positive and negative eigenvalues. We call that Hénon-like map F is *renormalizable* if $W^u(\beta_0) \cap W^s(\beta_1)$ is the orbit of a single point. Thus if F is renormalizable, then there exist invariant regions under F^2. However, the image of the hyperplane $\{\, x = \text{const.}\,\}$ under F^2 is the surface

$$f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, \mathbf{z}) = \text{const.}$$

which is not a hyperplane. Then F^2 is not Hénon-like map. Thus the analytic definition of the renormalization of F requires the non linear scaling map. The *horizontal-like* diffeomorphism H of F is defined as follows

$$H(x, y, \mathbf{z}) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, \mathbf{z}),\ y,\ \mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\delta}(y, f^{-1}(y), \mathbf{0}))$$

and it preserves the hyperplane $\{\, y = \text{const.}\,\}$. Then the *renormalization* of F, RF is defined

$$RF = \Lambda \circ H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1}$$

where the dilation $\Lambda(x, y, z) = (sx, sy, sz)$ for the appropriate constant $s < -1$. If F is n times renormalizable, then each R^kF for $2 \leq k \leq n$ is defined as the renormalization of $R^{k-1}F$ successively. If n is unbounded, then we call F is *infinitely renormalizable*.

Let B the hypercube as the domain of Hénon-like map. If it is emphasized with relation of particular map, for instance, F or R^kF, then we express this region as $B(F)$ or $B(R^kF)$ and so on. Any other set may have similar expression with a particular map. In this paper, we assume that any given Hénon-like map is analytic unless any other statement is specified. Moreover, the norm of ε and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is always $O(\overline{\varepsilon})$ for some sufficiently small $\overline{\varepsilon} > 0$. Let the set of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map be $\mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$. Then the renormalization operator has the fixed point in $\mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$. The fixed point F_* is a degenerate map as follows

$$F_*(x, y, \mathbf{z}) = (f_*(x),\ x,\ \mathbf{0})$$

where f_* is the fixed point of the renormalization operator of the (analytic) unimodal map. Furthermore, the renormalization R^nF converges to F_* as $n \to \infty$ exponentially fast and F_*, the map F_* is the hyperbolic fixed point under renormalization operator and the stable manifold at F_* is codimension one. All of above properties is valid for infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps in any two or greater dimension. See [CLM, Nam1, Nam3].

For $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$, F_k denotes R^kF for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let the non linear scaling map which conjugates $F_k^2|_{\Lambda_k^{-1}}(B)0$ to RF_k is

$$\psi_v^{k+1} \equiv H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1} \colon\ \text{Dom}(RF_k) \to \Lambda_k^{-1}(B)$$

where H_k is the horizontal-like diffeomorphism and Λ_k is the dilation with the appropriate constant $s < -1$. Let ψ_c^{k+1} be $F_k \circ \psi_v^{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k < n$, we express the consecutive compositions of ψ_v^{k+1} or ψ_c^{k+1} as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}} &= \psi_v^{k+1} \circ \psi_v^{k+2} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_v^n \\ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}} &= \psi_c^{k+1} \circ \psi_c^{k+2} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_c^n \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Let w be a letter which is v or c. Let the word of length n in the Cartesian product, $W^n \equiv \{\, v, c\,\}^n$ be $\mathbf{w}_n$ or simply be $\mathbf{w}$. Thus the map $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{w}}$ is defined with the word $\mathbf{w}$ of which length is $n - k$. The region $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ denotes $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{w}}(B(R^nF))$. If F is in the set $\mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ then it has the minimal invariant Cantor attractor

$$\mathcal{O}_F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\mathbf{w} \in W^n} B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$$

and F acts as a dyadic adding machine on $\mathcal{O}_F$. The counterpart of the critical value of the unimodal renormalizable map is called the *tip*

$$\{\tau_F\} \equiv \bigcap_{n \geq 0} B^n_{v^n}$$

Let μ be the unique invariant probability measure on $\mathcal{O}_F$. The average Jacobian of F, b_F is defined as

$$b_F = \exp \int_{\mathcal{O}_F} \log \operatorname{Jac} F \, d\mu.$$

Then there exists the asymptotic universal expression of Jacobian determinant of R^nF with the average Jacobian b_F (Theorem 5.10 in [Nam4]).

$$\operatorname{Jac} R^nF = b_F^{2^n} a(x)\,(1 + O(\rho^n))$$

where $a(x)$ is the universal positive function and ρ is a number in $(0,1)$. Let τ_n be the tip of R^nF for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The definition of the tip and $\Psi^n_{k\,\mathbf{v}}$ implies that $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(\tau_n) = \tau_k$ for $k < n$. After composing appropriate translations, tips on each level moves to the origin as the fixed point of the following map

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w + \tau_n) - \tau_k$$

for $k < n$. If $n = k+1$, then Ψ^{k+1}_k is separated to the linear and non linear parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi^{k+1}_k(w) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & \sigma_k t_k & \sigma_k u^1_k & \cdots & \sigma_k u^m_k \\ & \sigma_k & & & \\ & \sigma_k d^1_k & & & \\ & \vdots & & \boxed{\sigma_k \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}} & \\ & \sigma_k d^m_k & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + s_k(w) \\ y \\ z_1 + r^1_k(y) \\ \vdots \\ z_m + r^m_k(y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & \sigma_k\, t_k & \sigma_k\, \mathbf{u}_k \\ & \sigma_k & \\ & \sigma_k\, \mathbf{d}_k & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + s_k(w) \\ y \\ \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{r}_k(y) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

where $w = (x, y, \mathbf{z})$ and the boldfaced letters are the vectors each of which has m coordinates. The map Ψ^n_k is also separated to the linear and non linear parts after reshuffling.

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{n,\,k} & \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \\ & 1 & \\ & \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & & \sigma_{n,\,k} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S^n_k(w) \\ y \\ \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^n))$ and $\sigma_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^n))$. In this paper, we often confuse the map $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}$ with Ψ^n_k in order to obtain the simpler expression of each coordinate map of $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}$. For example, the expression of the first coordinate map of $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}$

$$\alpha_{n,\,k}(x + S^n_k(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,k} t_{n,\,k}\, y + \sigma_{n,\,k} \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y))$$

means that

$$\alpha_{n,\,k}\big[\,(x + \tau^x_n) + S^n_k(w + \tau_n)\,\big] + \sigma_{n,\,k} t_{n,\,k}(y + \tau^y_n) + \sigma_{n,\,k}\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big[\,(\mathbf{z} + \tau^{\mathbf{z}}_n) + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y + \tau^y_n)\,\big] - \tau_k$$

where $\tau_n = (\tau^x_n, \tau^y_n, \tau^{z_1}_n, \ldots, \tau^{z_m}_n)$ and $\tau^{\mathbf{z}}_n = (\tau^{z_1}_n, \ldots, \tau^{z_m}_n)$ for $k < n$. Recall the following definitions related to the infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map F for later use

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n^{-1}(w) = \sigma_n \cdot w, \qquad \psi_v^{n+1}(w) = H_n^{-1}(\sigma_n w), \qquad \psi_c^{n+1}(w) = F_n \circ H_n^{-1}(\sigma_n w) \\ \psi_v^{n+1}(B(R^{n+1}F)) = B_v^{n+1}, \qquad \psi_c^{n+1}(B(R^{n+1}F)) = B_c^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. An invariant space under renormalization

Let F be a Hénon-like map defined on the $m+2$ dimensional hypercube, B as follows

$$F(w) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(w),\ x,\ \boldsymbol{\delta}(w))$$

where $w = (x, y, z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta} \colon B \to \pi_{\mathbf{z}}(B)$. The map $\boldsymbol{\delta}(w) = (\delta^1(w), \delta^2(w), \ldots, \delta^m(w))$ where $\delta^j \colon B \to \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Suppose that F is renormalizable. Let $\boldsymbol{\delta}_1$ be $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ RF$. The recursive formulas of partial derivatives of $D\boldsymbol{\delta}_1$ inspires to find an invariant space under renormalization operator.

3.1. A space of renormalizable maps from recursive formulas of δ. Let F be the renormalizable Hénon-like map. Lemma A.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \delta_1^j(w) = &\boxed{\Big[\, \partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \Big]} \cdot \partial_x \phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w) \\ &+ \partial_x \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) - \frac{d}{dx}\, \delta^j(\sigma_0 x,\ f^{-1}(\sigma_0 x), \mathbf{0}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y \delta_1^j(w) = &\boxed{\Big[\, \partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \Big]} \cdot \partial_y \phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{z_i} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \Big[\, \partial_y \delta^i \circ \psi_v^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^i \circ \psi_v^1(w) \cdot \frac{d}{dy}\, \delta^l(\sigma_0 y, f^{-1}(\sigma_0 y), \mathbf{0}) \Big] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{z_i} \delta_1^j(w) = &\boxed{\Big[\, \partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \Big]} \cdot \partial_{z_i} \phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_{z_i} \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the common factor of the first terms of each partial derivatives is the expression in the box. Let us consider the set of renormalizable maps the expression of the box.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of $m+2$ dimensional renormalizable Hénon-like maps satisfying the following equation

$$\partial_y \delta^j \circ F(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ F(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l(w) = 0$$

where $w \in \psi_c^1(B) \cup \psi_v^1(B)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Remark 3.1. This definition is the generalization for three dimensional Hénon-like maps in [Nam2].

Example 3.1. Hénon-like maps in the above class $\mathcal{N}$ is non empty and non trivial. For instance, let us consider the map $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ of $F \in \mathcal{N}$ as follows

$$\delta^j(x, y, \mathbf{z}) = \eta^j \circ \Big(C_{j,\,0}\, y - \sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{j,\,i}\, z_i \Big) + x$$

where $C_{j,\,0} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{j,\,i}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq m$. Moreover, $\max\limits_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \{ \| \eta^j \|,\, C_{j,\,i} \} = O(\bar{\varepsilon})$ for small enough $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$. We can choose η^j independent of η^k for $j \neq k$.

The derivative of $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is of $m \times (m+2)$ matrix form which contains each partial derivatives of δ^j for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Thus

$$D\boldsymbol{\delta}(w) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|ccc} \partial_x \delta^1(w) & \partial_y \delta^1(w) & \partial_{z_1} \delta^1(w) & \cdots & \partial_{z_m} \delta^1(w) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_x \delta^m(w) & \partial_y \delta^m(w) & \partial_{z_1} \delta^m(w) & \cdots & \partial_{z_m} \delta^m(w) \end{array} \right)$$

Let us introduce the notation to simply expressions

$$\begin{aligned} X(w) &= \ (\partial_x \delta^1(w) \cdots \partial_x \delta^m(w))^{Tr} \\ Y(w) &= \ (\partial_y \delta^1(w) \cdots \partial_y \delta^m(w))^{Tr} \\ Z(w) &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{z_1} \delta^1(w) & \cdots & \partial_{z_m} \delta^1(w) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_{z_1} \delta^m(w) & \cdots & \partial_{z_m} \delta^m(w) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where Tr is the transpose of the matrix. Then $D\boldsymbol{\delta}(w) = (X(w) \;\; Y(w) \;\; Z(w))$. For infinitely renormalizable map $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$, let us express $D\boldsymbol{\delta}_k(w) = (X_k(w) \;\; Y_k(w) \;\; Z_k(w))$. The equation in Definition 3.1 can be express as the sum of vectors for $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_y \delta^1 \circ F(w) \\ \vdots \\ \partial_y \delta^m \circ F(w) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{z_1} \delta^1 \circ F(w) & \cdots & \partial_{z_m} \delta^1 \circ F(w) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial_{z_1} \delta^m \circ F(w) & \cdots & \partial_{z_m} \delta^m \circ F(w) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \delta^1(w) \\ \vdots \\ \partial_x \delta^m(w) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

The above equation is the same as

$$Y \circ F(w) + Z \circ F(w) \cdot X(w) = \mathbf{0} \tag{3.2}$$

Then $\mathcal{N}$ can be defined as the set of renormalizable map F satisfying the above equation (3.2) where $w \in \psi_c^1(B) \cup \psi_v^1(B)$. Let

$$q^j(y) = \frac{d}{dy}\, \delta^j(y, f^{-1}(y), 0)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let $\mathbf{q}(y) = (q^1(y), \ldots, q^m(y))$. For instance, the value of the derivative of $\delta^j(y, f^{-1}(y), 0)$ at $\sigma_0 y$ is expressed as $q^j \circ (\sigma_0 y)$. For the map $F \in \mathcal{N}$, X_1, Y_1 and Z_1 are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1(w) &= X \circ \psi_c^1(w) - \mathbf{q} \circ (\sigma_0 x) \\
Y_1(w) &= Z \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot Y \circ \psi_v^1(w) + Z \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot Z \circ \psi_v^1(w) \cdot \mathbf{q} \circ (\sigma_0 y) \\
&= Z \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \big[\, Y \circ \psi_v^1(w) + Z \circ \psi_v^1(w) \cdot \mathbf{q} \circ (\sigma_0 y) \,\big] \\
Z_1(w) &= Z \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot Z \circ \psi_v^1(w).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

3.2. Invariance of the space $\mathcal{N}$ under renormalization. Let us show the invariance of $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ under renormalization operator.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{N}$ is n times renormalizable. Let F_k be $R^k F$ and let $\boldsymbol{\delta}_k$ be $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ F^k$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
X_k(w) &= X_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) - \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \circ (\sigma_{k-1} x) \\
Y_k(w) &= Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) \cdot Y_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k(w) + Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) \cdot Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \circ (\sigma_{k-1} y) \\
&= Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) \cdot \big[\, Y_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k(w) + Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \circ (\sigma_{k-1} y) \,\big] \\
Z_k(w) &= Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) \cdot Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k(w)
\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. See the equation (3.3) and use the induction. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let F be an infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map and let F_k be $R^k F$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\psi_v^k \circ F_k = F_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$\pi_y \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k = \pi_x \circ \psi_c^k$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let us recall that $\psi_v^k = H_{k-1} \circ \Lambda_{k-1}$, $\psi_c^k = F_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k$ and $F_k = (\psi_v^k)^{-1} \circ F_{k-1}^2 \circ \psi_v^k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_v^k \circ F_k &= \psi_v^k \circ (\psi_v^k)^{-1} \circ F_{k-1}^2 \circ \psi_v^k \\
&= F_{k-1}^2 \circ \psi_v^k = F_{k-1} \circ \big[\, F_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \,\big] \\
&= F_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take two points $w = (x, y, \mathbf{z})$ and $w' = (x', y', \mathbf{z}')$ satisfying the equation $w = F_k(w')$. Since F_k is a Hénon-like map, we obtain

$$(x, y, \mathbf{z}) = F(w') = (f(x') - \varepsilon(w'),\ x',\ \boldsymbol{\delta}(w')).$$

Then $\pi_y(F(w')) = \pi_x(w')$. Hence, the equation (3.4) implies that $\pi_y \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k = \pi_x \circ \psi_c^k$. □

Theorem 3.4. *The space $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ is invariant under renormalization, that is, if $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$, then $RF \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ R^k F$ be $\boldsymbol{\delta}_k$ and $D\boldsymbol{\delta}(w) = (X_k(w)\ Y_k(w)\ Z_k(w))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that

$$Y_{k-1} \circ F_{k-1}(w) + Z_{k-1} \circ F_{k-1}(w) \cdot X_{k-1}(w) = \mathbf{0}$$

where $w \in \psi_c^k(B) \cup \psi_v^k(B)$. By induction it suffice to show that

$$Y_k \circ F_k(w) + Z_k \circ F_k(w) \cdot X_k(w) = \mathbf{0}$$

where $w \in \psi_c^{k+1}(B) \cup \psi_v^{k+1}(B)$. Observe that $\sigma_{k-1}\, y = \pi_y \circ \psi_v^k(w)$ and $\sigma_{k-1}\, x = \pi_x \circ \psi_c^k(w)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have that $\pi_x \circ \psi_c^k(w) = \pi_y \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w)$ and $F_k \circ \psi_c^k(w) = \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_k \circ F_k(w) + Z_k \circ F_k(w) \cdot X_k(w) \\
= \ & Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot \big[\, Y_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) + Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \circ \pi_y \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) \,\big] \\
& \quad + Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot \big[\, X_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) - \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \circ \pi_x \circ \psi_c^k(w) \,\big] \\
= \ & Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot Y_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) \\
& \quad + Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot X_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) \\
= \ & Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot \big[\, Y_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) + Z_{k-1} \circ \psi_v^k \circ F_k(w) \cdot X_{k-1} \circ \psi_c^k(w) \,\big] \\
= \ & \mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

For any point $w \in \mathrm{Dom}(R^k F)$, we obtain that $\psi_c^k(w) \in \psi_c^k(B) \cup \psi_v^k(B)$. Then $F_k \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Hence, the space $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ is invariant under renormalization. □

4. Universal numbers with $\partial_{\mathbf{z}}\boldsymbol{\delta}$ and $\partial_y \varepsilon$

4.1. Critical point and the recursive formula of $\partial_x \boldsymbol{\delta}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let F be the Hénon-like map in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Let $\boldsymbol{\delta}_k$ be $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ F_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $X_k(w)$ be the column matrix of $(\partial_x \delta_k^j)$ for $1 \le j \le m$. Then*

$$X_n(w) = X_k \circ \Psi_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}^n(w) - \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x \circ \Psi_{i,\,\mathbf{c}}^n(w))$$

for $k < n$. Moreover, passing the limit

$$X_k(c_{F_k}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x(c_{F_i}))$$

where c_{F_i} is the critical point of F_i for each $k \le i \le n$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_n(w) &= X_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) - \mathbf{q}_{n-1} \circ (\sigma_{n-1} x) \\ &= X_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) - \mathbf{q}_{n-1} \circ (\pi_x \circ \psi^n_c(w)) \\ &\qquad\vdots \\ &= X_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(w) - \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{c}}(w)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for $k < n$. Since $\|X_n\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$, passing the limit we have the following equation

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} X_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(w) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{c}}(w)). \tag{4.2}$$

By Corollary B.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} X_k(c_{F_k}) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{c}}(w)) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x(c_{F_i})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.1. Putting the critical point c_{F_n} at the equation (4.1), we obtain that

$$X_k(c_{F_k}) = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x(c_{F_i})) + X_n(c_{F_n}) \tag{4.3}$$

4.2. Universal numbers $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ with the asymptotic of $Z(w)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let F be the Hénon-like diffeomorphism in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Let $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ F_k$ be $\boldsymbol{\delta}_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \times m$ matrix, $Z_k(w)$ be $\partial_{z_i} \delta^j_k(w)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Suppose that* $\det Z(w)$ *is non zero for all $w \in \psi^1_c(B) \cup \psi^1_v(B)$. Then*

$$|\det Z_n(w)| = b_{\mathbf{z}}^{2^n}(1 + O(\rho^n))$$

where $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ is a universal positive number for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $0 < \rho < 1$.

Proof. For the map $F_n \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$, Definition 3.1 implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\, \delta^j_n(w) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot \partial_{z_i} \delta^l_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\, \delta^j_n(w)$ is the (j, i) element of the matrix $Z_n(w)$, it is the product of the j^{th} row of $Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w)$ and i^{th} column of $Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w)$. Then the equation (3.3) is generalized with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with inductive calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_n(w) &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w) \\
&= Z_{n-2} \circ \psi^{n-1}_c \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Z_{n-2} \circ \psi^{n-1}_v \circ \psi^n_c(w) \\
&\qquad \cdot Z_{n-2} \circ \psi^{n-1}_c \circ \psi^n_v(w) \cdot Z_{n-2} \circ \psi^{n-1}_v \circ \psi^n_v(w) \\
&\qquad\qquad \vdots \\
&= \prod_{\mathbf{w} \in W^n} Z \circ \Psi^n_{\mathbf{w}}(w)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}
$$

where $\mathbf{w}$ is a word in W^n which is the set of Cartesian product of the letters $\{v,\, c\}^n$. Let us take the logarithmic average of $|\det Z_n(w)|$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_n(w) &= \frac{1}{2^n}\, \log\, \big|\, \det Z_n(w)\, \big| \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in W^n} \log\, \big|\, \det Z \circ \Psi^n_{\mathbf{w}}(w)\, \big|.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the continuity of the determinant of matrix and the compactness of the domain, we may assume that $\det Z_n(w)$ has its positive lower bound or negative upper bound. The the limit $l_n(w)$ exists as $n \to n$ on the critical Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_F$ where μ is the unique ergodic probability measure on $\mathcal{O}_F$. The uniqueness of μ implies that the limit is a constant function. Let this constant be $\log b_{\mathbf{z}}$ for some $b_{\mathbf{z}} > 0$, that is,

$$
l_n(w) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathcal{O}_F} \log \big|\det Z(w)\big|\, d\mu \equiv \log b_{\mathbf{z}}. \tag{4.5}
$$

Since $\operatorname{diam}\big(\Psi^n_{\mathbf{w}}(B)\big) \leq C\sigma^n$ for all $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$ and for some $C > 0$, the l_n in (4.5) converges exponentially fast. In other words,

$$
\frac{1}{2^n}\, \log\, \big|\, \det Z_n(w)\, \big| = \log b_{\mathbf{z}} + O(\rho_0^n)
$$

for some $0 < \rho_0 < 1$. Take the constant $\rho = \rho_0/2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log\, \big|\, \det Z_n(w)\, \big| &= 2^n \log b_{\mathbf{z}} + O(\rho^n) \\
&= 2^n \log b_{\mathbf{z}} + \log(1 + O(\rho^n)) \\
&= \log b_{\mathbf{z}}^{2^n} (1 + O(\rho^n)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\big|\, \det Z_n(w)\, \big| = b_{\mathbf{z}}^{2^n} (1 + O(\rho^n)). \tag{4.6}
$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let F be the Hénon-like diffeomorphism in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Let $D\boldsymbol{\delta}_n$ be $(X_n\ Y_n\ Z_n)$. Then*

$$
Y_n(w) = Z_n(w) \cdot \Big[\, \big(Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big)^{-1} \cdot \big(Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w))\, \Big]
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$\big(Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big)^{-1} \cdot \big(Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w))$$

converges to $\mathbf{0}$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. In particular, each coordinate of above expression is less than $C\sigma^{n-k}$ for some $C > 0$ independent of k.

Proof. Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n(w) &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Y_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w) + Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{n-1} \circ (\sigma_{n-1} y) \\ &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Y_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w) + Z_n \circ \psi^n_v(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{n-1} \circ (\pi_y \circ \psi^n_v(w))\,\big] \\ Z_n(w) &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by the inductive calculation, $Y_n(w)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_n(w) \\ &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Y_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w) + Z_n \circ \psi^n_v(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{n-1} \circ (\pi_y \circ \psi^n_v(w)) \\ &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot \big[\, Z_{n-2} \circ (\psi^{n-1}_c \circ \psi^n_v)(w) \cdot Y_{n-2} \circ (\psi^{n-1}_v \circ \psi^n_v)(w) \\ &\qquad + Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_v(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{n-2} \circ (\pi_y \circ \psi^{n-1}_v \circ \psi^n_v(w))\,\big] + Z_n \circ \psi^n_v(w) \cdot \mathbf{q}_{n-1} \circ (\pi_y \circ \psi^n_v(w)) \\ &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Z_{n-2} \circ (\psi^{n-1}_c \circ \psi^n_v)(w) \cdot Y_{n-2} \circ (\psi^{n-1}_v \circ \psi^n_v)(w) \\ &\qquad + Z_n(w) \cdot \big[\, \mathbf{q}_{n-2} \circ (\pi_y \circ \psi^{n-1}_v \circ \psi^n_v(w)) + \mathbf{q}_{n-1} \circ (\pi_y \circ \psi^n_v(w))\,\big] \\ &\qquad\qquad \vdots \\ &= Z_{n-1} \circ \psi^n_c(w) \cdot Z_{n-2} \circ (\psi^{n-1}_c \circ \psi^n_v)(w) \cdots Z_k \circ (\psi^{k+1}_c \circ \psi^{k+2}_v \circ \cdots \circ \psi^n_v(w)) \\ &\qquad \cdot Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + Z_n(w) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \\ (*) \quad &= Z_n(w) \cdot \big(Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + Z_n(w) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \\ &= Z_n(w) \cdot \Big[\, \big(Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w))\,\Big] \end{aligned}$$

for $k < n$. The second equation in (4.7) implies $(*)$ in the above equation. Recall the equation (4.1)

$$X_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(w) = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{c}}(w)) + X_n(w)$$

Thus the expression

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{c}}(w)) = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i}\, x)$$

converges to the value $X_k(c_{F_k})$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$ where $\sigma_{n,\,i} = \sigma_n \cdot \sigma_{n-1} \cdot \sigma_i$. Thus the expression

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i}\, y)$$

also converges to a single value exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, since $\Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(B)$ contains τ_i for all $i < n$, we have the following equation

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y(\tau_i)).$$

Recall that if $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$, then $F_j(c_{F_j}) = \tau_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By the equation (4.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} \Big[\big(Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \Big] \\
= \;& \big(Z_k(\tau_k)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k(\tau_k) + \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y(\tau_i)) \\
= \;& \big(Z_k(\tau_k)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k(\tau_k) + \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_x(c_{F_i})) \\
= \;& \big(Z_k(\tau_k)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k(\tau_k) + X_k(c_{F_k}) - \lim_{n\to\infty} X_n(c_{F_n}) \\
= \;& \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}$$

for $k < n$. Since $\operatorname{diam}(\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(B)) \le C\sigma^{n-k}$, the convergence of above equation is exponentially fast. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$. For $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ R^k F = \boldsymbol{\delta}_k$, let $D\boldsymbol{\delta}_k$ be $(X_k(w)\ Y_k(w)\ Z_k(w))$. Then*

$$\Big\| Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \Big\| \le C\, \overline{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \sigma^{n-k}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \\
= \;& Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) \cdot \Big[\big(Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\Big\| \big(Z_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)\big)^{-1} \cdot Y_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \Big\| \le C_0\, \sigma^{n-k}$$

and $\| Z_k \| \leq C_1 \, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}$.

$\square$

4.3. Universal number b_1 and $\partial_y \varepsilon$. The universal number $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ is defined as the asymptotic number of $\det Z(w)$. Universality of Jacobian determinant implies that the average Jacobian b is the universal number of $\operatorname{Jac} F$. Let us define another number b_1 as the ratio $b_1 = b/b_{\mathbf{z}}$. Then it is shown that b_1 is the universal number for $\partial_y \varepsilon$ below.
Let M be a block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where A and D are square matrices. Assume that the square matrix D is invertible. The equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & \mathbf{0} \\ D^{-1}C & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & B \\ \mathbf{0} & D \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $\det M = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \cdot \det(D)$. Applying this, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Jac} F_n &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y \varepsilon_n & E_n \\ Y_n & Z_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det\left(\, \partial_y \varepsilon_n - E_n \cdot (Z_n)^{-1} \cdot Y_n \, \right) \cdot \det Z_n \\ &= \left[\, \partial_y \varepsilon_n - E_n \cdot (Z_n)^{-1} \cdot Y_n \, \right] \cdot \det Z_n \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_y \varepsilon_n(w) & \partial_{z_1} \varepsilon_n(w) & \partial_{z_2} \varepsilon_n(w) & \cdots & \partial_{z_m} \varepsilon_n(w) \end{pmatrix}$ is $E_n(w)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\det Z_n(w)$ is not zero for all $w \in B$. Universality of Jacobian and Proposition 4.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Jac} F_n(w) &= b^{2^n} a(x)(1 + O(\rho^n)) \\ \det Z_n(w) &= b_{\mathbf{z}}^{2^n} (1 + O(\rho^n)) \end{aligned}$$

where b is the average Jacobian and $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ is a positive number for some $0 < \rho < 1$. Let $b_1 = b/b_{\mathbf{z}}$. Then by Lemma 4.3, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Jac} F_k(w) = {} & b^{2^k} a(x)(1 + O(\rho^k)) \\ = {} & \left[\, \partial_y \varepsilon_k(w) - E_k(w) \cdot (Z_k(w))^{-1} \cdot Y_k(w) \, \right] \cdot b_{\mathbf{z}}^{2^k} (1 + O(\rho^k)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\partial_y \varepsilon_k(w) - E_k(w) \cdot (Z_k(w))^{-1} \cdot Y_k(w) \; = \; b_1^{2^k} a(x) \, (1 + O(\rho^k)) \tag{4.9}$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ and F_k be R^kF for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\Big|\, \partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) + E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ (\Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \,\Big| \ \leq \ C_0\, b_1^{2^k} + C_1\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \sigma^{n-k}$$

where $w \in B(R^nF)$ for some positive C_0 and C_1. Moreover, if n satisfies that $\sigma^{n-k} \asymp b_1^{2^k}$, then

$$\partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) + E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ (\Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \ \asymp \ b_1^{2^k}$$

Proof. The equation (4.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_1^{2^k}\, a\,(\pi_x \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w))\,(1 + O(\rho^k)) \\
&= \ \partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) - E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot (Z_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w))^{-1} \cdot Y_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \\
&= \ \partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) - E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot \Big[- \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ (\Psi^n_{i,\mathbf{v}}(w)) + (Z_n(w))^{-1} \cdot Y_n(w) \Big] \\
&= \ \partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) + E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ (\Psi^n_{i,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \\
&\qquad - E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot (Z_n(w))^{-1} \cdot Y_n(w)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Lemma 4.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\| E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot (Z_n(w))^{-1} \cdot Y_n(w) \| &\leq \ \| E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \| \cdot \| (Z_n(w))^{-1} \cdot Y_n(w) \| \\
&\leq \ C_1\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \sigma^{n-k}
\end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of k. Hence,

$$\Big|\, \partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) + E_k \circ (\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ (\Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) \,\Big| \ \leq \ C_0\, b_1^{2^k} + C_1\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \sigma^{n-k}$$

where $w \in B(R^nF)$ for some positive C_0 and C_1. If $\sigma^{n-k} \asymp b_1^{2^k}$ then $\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \sigma^{n-k} \leq C\, b_1^{2^k}$ for some $C > 0$. ☐

5. Recursive formula of Ψ^n_k

Proposition 5.1. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. F_k and F_n denote k^{th} and n^{th} renormalized map of F respectively. The derivative of the non-linear conjugation Ψ^n_k at the tip, τ_{F_k} between $F_k^{2^{n-k}}$ and F_n is called D^n_k, which is as follows*

$$D^n_k = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & \sigma_{n,\,k}\, t_{n,\,k} & \sigma_{n,\,k}\, \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k}\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} & \sigma_{n,\,k} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m \times m} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}$ *is the* $m\times m$ *identity matrix,* $\sigma_{n,\,k}$ *and* $\alpha_{n,\,k}$ *are linear scaling factors such that* $\sigma_{n,\,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1+O(\rho^k))$ *and* $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1+O(\rho^k))$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} &= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,i}\,, \quad \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma^{i-k}\,\mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\,(1+O(\rho^k))\\ t_{n,\,k} &= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma^{i-k}\left[\,t_{i+1,\,i} + \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,i+1}\right](1+O(\rho^k))\\ t_{n,\,k} - \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} &= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma^{i-k}\left[\,t_{i+1,\,i} - \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,k}\right](1+O(\rho^k))\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^{i-k}(1+O(\rho^k)) = \displaystyle\prod_{j=k}^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}}$*. Moreover,* $\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}$*,* $\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}$ *and* $t_{n,\,k}$ *are convergent as* $n\to\infty$ *super exponentially fast.*

Proof. $D^n_k = D^m_k\cdot D^n_m$ for any m between k and n because the image of the tip under $\Psi^n_k(\tau_{F_n})$ is the tip of k^{th} level. By the direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}&D^m_k\cdot D^n_m\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{n,\,k} & \boxed{\alpha_{m,\,k}\,\sigma_{n,\,m}\,t_{n,\,m} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,t_{m,\,k} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{u}_{m,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,m}} & \boxed{\alpha_{m,\,k}\sigma_{n,\,m}\,\mathbf{u}_{n,\,m} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{u}_{m,\,k}}\\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & \boxed{\sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{d}_{m,\,k} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{d}_{n,\,m}} & \sigma_{n,\,k}\cdot\mathrm{Id}_{m\times m}\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n,\,k}\,t_{n,\,k} &= \alpha_{m,\,k}\,\sigma_{n,\,m}\,t_{n,\,m} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,t_{m,\,k} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{u}_{m,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,m}\\ \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} &= \alpha_{m,\,k}\,\sigma_{n,\,m}\,\mathbf{u}_{n,\,m} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{u}_{m,\,k}\\ \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} &= \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{d}_{m,\,k} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\,\mathbf{d}_{n,\,m}\end{aligned}$$

for any m between k and n. Recall that $\sigma_{n,\,k} = \sigma_{n,\,m}\cdot\sigma_{m,\,k}$ and $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \alpha_{n,\,m}\cdot\alpha_{m,\,k}$. Let m be $k+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} &= \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} + \mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k}\\ &= \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+2} + \mathbf{d}_{k+2,\,k+1} + \mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k}\\ &\quad\vdots\\ &= \mathbf{d}_{n,\,n-1} + \cdots + \mathbf{d}_{k+2,\,k+1} + \mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k}\\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1}\mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,i}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

Moreover, the absolute value each term is super exponentially small. More precisely, each term is bounded by $\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^i}$ for each i, that is, $\|\,\mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,i}\| \asymp \|\,\mathbf{q}_i(\pi_y(\tau_{i+1}))\| \le \|D\boldsymbol{\delta}_i\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^i})$. Then $\mathbf{d}^j_{n,\,k}$ converges to the number, say $\mathbf{d}^j_{*,\,k}$ super exponentially fast for each $1\le j\le m$.

Let us see the recursive formula of $\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} &= \frac{\alpha_{k+1,\,k}}{\sigma_{k+1,\,k}}\,\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k+1} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \\
&= \frac{\alpha_{k+1,\,k}}{\sigma_{k+1,\,k}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{k+2,\,k+1}}{\sigma_{k+2,\,k+1}}\,\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k+2} + \mathbf{u}_{k+2,\,k+1}\right] + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \\
&\;\;\vdots \\
&= \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1}\prod_{j=k}^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}}\;\mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \\
&= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1}\sigma^{i-k}\mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\,(1+O(\rho^k)).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{u}^j_{i+1,\,i} \asymp \partial_{\mathbf{z}_j}\varepsilon_i(\tau_{F_{i+1}})$ for each $1 \le j \le m$. Then $\mathbf{u}^j_{n,\,k}$ converges to the number, say $\mathbf{u}^j_{*,\,k}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ super exponentially fast by the similar reason for $\mathbf{d}^j_{n,\,k}$. Let us see the recursive formula of $t_{n,\,k}$

(5.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{n,\,k} &= \frac{\alpha_{k+1,\,k}}{\sigma_{k+1,\,k}}\;t_{n,\,k+1} + t_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} \\
&= \frac{\alpha_{k+1,\,k}}{\sigma_{k+1,\,k}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{k+2,\,k+1}}{\sigma_{k+2,\,k+1}}\;t_{n,\,k+2} + t_{k+2,\,k+1} + \mathbf{u}_{k+2,\,k+1}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+2}\right] + t_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} \\
&\;\;\vdots \\
&= \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1}\prod_{j=k}^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}}\;t_{i+1,\,i} + t_{k+1,\,k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1}\prod_{j=k}^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}}\;\mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,i+1} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1}\sigma^{i-k}\big[\,t_{i+1,\,i} + \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,i+1}\,\big](1+O(\rho^k)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the equations (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain the recursive formula of $t_{n,\,k} - \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_{n,\,k} - \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} \\
= &\sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1}\prod_{j=k}^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}}\big[\,t_{i+1,\,i} + \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,i+1}\,\big] + t_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} \\
&- \left[\sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1}\prod_{j=k}^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}}\;\mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\right]\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} \\
= &\sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1}\prod_{j=k}^{i-1}\frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}}\big[\,t_{i+1,\,i} + \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,i+1} - \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}\,\big] \\
&+ t_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} - \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=k}^{i-1} \frac{\alpha_{j+1,\,j}}{\sigma_{j+1,\,j}} \big[\, t_{i+1,\,i} - \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,k} \,\big] + t_{k+1,\,k} - \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k} \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma^{i-k} \big[\, t_{i+1,\,i} - \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,k} \,\big] (1 + O(\rho^k)). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the expression of the derivative of the coordinate change map at the tip on each level

$$(D^{k+1}_k)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_k)^{-1} & & \\ & (\sigma_k)^{-1} & \\ & & (\sigma_k)^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \mathbf{d}_k & -\mathbf{u}_k \\ & 1 & \\ & -\mathbf{d}_k & \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $H_k(w) = (f_k(x) - \varepsilon_k(w),\, y,\, \mathbf{z} - \boldsymbol{\delta}_k(y, f_k^{-1}(y), \mathbf{0}))$, we see that $\partial_y \varepsilon_k(\tau_{F_k}) \asymp -t_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \mathbf{d}_k$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the fact that $t_{i+1,\,i} - \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,i} \asymp \partial_y \varepsilon_i(\tau_{F_{i+1}})$ and $|\,\mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n,\,i}|$ is super exponentially small for each $i < n$ implies that $t_{n,\,k}$ converges to a number, say $t_{*,\,k}$ super exponentially fast.

□

Recall the expression of the map Ψ^n_k from $B(R^nF)$ to $B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}}(R^kF)$

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{n,\,k} & \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \\ & 1 & \\ & \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & & \sigma_{n,\,k} \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S^n_k(w) \\ y \\ \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k} \in W^{n-k}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ and Ψ^n_k be the map from $B(R^nF)$ to $B^{(}R^kF)$ as the conjugation between $(R^kF)^{2^{n-k}}$ and R^nF. Let $\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y)$ be the non linear part of $\pi_z \circ \Psi^n_k$ depending on the second variable y. Then both $\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y)$ and $(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(y)$ converges to zero exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. In particular,*

$$\|\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}\| \le C\,\sigma^{n-k}, \qquad \|(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'\| \le C\,\sigma^{n-k}$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof. Let $w = (x, y, \mathbf{z})$ be the point in $B(R^nF)$ and let $\Psi^n_{n-1}(w)$ be $w' = (x', y', \mathbf{z}')$. Recall $\Psi^n_k = \Psi^n_{n-1} \circ \Psi^{n-1}_k$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}' = &\ \pi_z \circ \Psi^n_{n-1}(w) = \sigma_{n,\,n-1} \left[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,n-1}\, y + \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,n-1}(y) \,\right] \\ y' = &\ \pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{n-1}(w) = \sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the similar calculation and the composition of Ψ^{n-1}_k and Ψ^n_{n-1}, we obtain the recursive formula of $\pi_z \circ \Psi^n_k$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_z \circ \Psi^n_k(w) = \sigma_{n,\,k} \left[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}\, y + \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) \,\right] \\
=\ & \pi_z \circ \Psi^{n-1}_k(w') = \sigma_{n-1,\,k} \left[\, \mathbf{d}_{n-1,\,k}\, y' + \mathbf{z}' + \mathbf{R}_{n-1,\,k}(y') \,\right] \\
=\ & \sigma_{n-1,\,k} \left[\, \mathbf{d}_{n-1,\,k}\, \sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y + \sigma_{n,\,n-1} \left[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,n-1} y + \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,n-1}(y) \,\right] + \mathbf{R}_{n-1,\,k}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y) \,\right] \\
=\ & \sigma_{n,\,k}\, (\mathbf{d}_{n-1,\,k} + \mathbf{d}_{n,\,n-1}) y + \sigma_{n,\,k}\, \mathbf{z} + \sigma_{n,\,k}\, \mathbf{R}_{n,\,n-1}(y) + \sigma_{n-1,\,k}\, \mathbf{R}_{n-1,\,k}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

By Proposition 5.1, $\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} = \mathbf{d}_{n-1,\,k} + \mathbf{d}_{n,\,n-1}$. Let us compare the left side of (5.5) with the right side of it. Recall the equation $\sigma_{n,\,k} = \sigma_{n,\,n-1} \cdot \sigma_{n-1,\,k}$. Then

$$\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) = \mathbf{R}_{n,\,n-1}(y) + \frac{1}{\sigma_{n,\,n-1}}\, \mathbf{R}_{n-1,\,k}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y). \tag{5.5}$$

The map $\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y)$ has the expression of each coordinate maps, that is,

$$\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) = (R^1_{n,\,k}(y),\ R^2_{n,\,k}(y),\ \ldots,\ R^m_{n,\,k}(y))$$

for $k < n$ and for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Each $R^j_{n,\,k}(y)$ is the sum of second and higher order terms of $\pi_{z_j} \circ \Psi^n_k$ for $k < n$. Thus

$$R^j_{n,\,k}(y) = a^j_{n,\,k}\, y^2 + A^j_{n,\,k}(y) \cdot y^3 \tag{5.6}$$

Moreover, $\|\, R^j_{n,\,n-1} \| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-1}})$ because $R^j_{n,\,n-1}(y)$ is the second and higher order terms of the map $\pi_{z_j} \circ \boldsymbol{\delta}_{n-1}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y,\ f^{-1}_{n-1}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y),\ \mathbf{0})$. The equation (5.5) is applies to each coordinate maps. Then

$$R^j_{n,\,k}(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{n,\,n-1}}\, R^j_{n-1,\,k}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y) + c^j_{n,\,k}\, y^2 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-1}} y^3)$$

where $c^j_{n,\,k} = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-1}})$. The recursive formula for $a^j_{n,\,k}$ and $A^j_{n,\,k}$ as follows

$$R^j_{n,\,k}(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{n,\,n-1}} \left(a^j_{n-1,\,k} \cdot (\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y)^2 + A^j_{n-1,\,k}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y) \cdot (\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y)^3 \right) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-1}} y^3).$$

Then $a^j_{n,\,k} = \sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, a^j_{n-1,\,k} + c^j_{n,\,k}$ and $\|\, A^j_{n,\,k} \| \leq \|\, \sigma_{n,\,n-1} \|^2 \|\, A^j_{n-1,\,k} \| + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-1}})$. Hence, for each fixed $k < n,\ a^j_{n,\,k} \to 0$ and $A^j_{n,\,k} \to 0$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. $R^j_{n,\,k}(y)$ converges to zero as $n \to \infty$ exponentially fast.

Let us estimate $\|\, (A^j_{n,\,k})' \|$ in order to measure how fast $(R^j_{n,\,k})'(y)$ is convergent. By the similar method of the recursive formula of $R^j_{n,\,k}(y)$, we have the expression and recursive formula of $(R^j_{n,\,k})'(y)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^j_{n,\,k})'(y) =\ & 2\, a^j_{n,\,k}\, y + 3 A^j_{n,\,k}(y) \cdot y^2 + (A^j_{n,\,k})'(y) \cdot y^3 \\
(R^j_{n,\,k})'(y) =\ & (R^j_{n,\,n-1})'(y) + R^j_{n-1,\,k}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y) \\
=\ & R^j_{n-1,\,k}(\sigma_{n,\,n-1}\, y) + 2\, c^j_{n,\,k}\, y + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-1}} y^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(R^j_{n,k})'(y) = {} & 2\,a^j_{n-1,k}\,\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y + 3\,A^j_{n-1,k}(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)\cdot(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)^2 \\ & + (A^j_{n,k})'(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)\cdot(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)^3 + 2\,c^j_{n,k}\,y + O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^{n-1}}y^2).\end{aligned}$$

Let us compare quadratic and higher order terms of $(R^j_{n,k})'(y)$

$$\begin{aligned}3\,A^j_{n,k}(y)\cdot y^2 + (A^j_{n,k})'(y)\cdot y^3 = {} & 3A^j_{n-1,k}(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)\cdot(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)^2 \\ & + (A^j_{n,k})'(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)\cdot(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)^3 + O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^{n-1}}y^2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(A^j_{n,k})'(y)\,y = {} & (A^j_{n,k})'(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)\cdot\sigma^3_{n,n-1}\,y - 3A^j_{n,k}(y) + 3A^j_{n-1,k}(\sigma_{n,n-1}\,y)\cdot\sigma^2_{n,n-1} \\ & + O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^{n-1}}).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(A^j_{n,k})'\| \le {} & \|(A^j_{n-1,k})'\|\cdot\|\sigma_{n,n-1}\|^3 + 3\|A^j_{n,k}\| + 3\|A^j_{n-1,k}\|\cdot\|\,\sigma_{n,n-1}\|^2 + O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^{n-1}}) \\ \le {} & \|\,(A^j_{n-1,k})'\|\cdot\|\,\sigma_{n,n-1}\|^3 + C\|\,\sigma_{n,n-1}\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$. Then $(A^j_{n,k})' \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ exponentially fast. Then so does $(R^j_{n,k})'(y)$ exponentially fast for all $1 \le j \le m$. Furthermore, since $|a^j_{n,k}|$, $\|\,A^j_{n,k}\|$ and $\|\,(A^j_{n,k})'\|$ are bounded above by $C\,\sigma^{n-k}$ for some $C > 0$ and for every $1 \le j \le m$, so does the norm of $\mathbf{R}_{n,k}$ and $(\mathbf{R}_{n,k})'$, that is,

$$\|\mathbf{R}_{n,k}\| \le C\,\sigma^{n-k}, \qquad \|(\mathbf{R}_{n,k})'\| \le C\,\sigma^{n-k}.$$

□

Let w^1 and w^2 be two points in $B(R^nF)$ and $w^j = (x^j,\, y^j,\, \mathbf{z}^j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ for the next Proposition. Let $\Psi^n_{i,\mathbf{v}}(w^j) = w^j_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, 2$. In particular, let $\dot w^j = (\dot x^j,\, \dot y^j,\, \dot{\mathbf{z}}^j)$ be $\Psi^n_k(w^j)$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar\varepsilon)$. Then*

$$\dot{\mathbf{z}}^1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}^2 = \pi_{\mathbf{z}}\circ\Psi^n_k(w^1) - \pi_{\mathbf{z}}\circ\Psi^n_k(w^2) = \sigma_{n,k}\cdot(\mathbf{z}^1 - \mathbf{z}^2) + \sigma_{n,k}\sum_{i=k}^{n-1}\mathbf{q}_i(\sigma_{n,i}\,\bar y)\cdot(y^1 - y^2)$$

where $\bar y$ is in the line segment between y_1 and y_2. Moreover,

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1}\mathbf{q}_i\circ(\sigma_{n,i}\,\bar y)\cdot(y^1 - y^2) = \mathbf{d}_{n,k}\cdot(y^1 - y^2) + \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(y^1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(y^2).$$

Proof. Firstly, let us express $\pi_{\mathbf{z}}\circ\Psi^n_k(w)$. Let $\mathbf{p}_i(y)$ be $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i(y, f_i^{-1}(y), \mathbf{0})$ in order to simplify the expression. Recall the definition of $\mathbf{q}_i(y)$, namely, $\frac{d}{dy}\,\mathbf{p}_i(y) = \mathbf{q}_i(y)$. Let $\Psi^n_{i,\mathbf{v}}(w) = w_i$ for $k \le i \le n-1$ and let $w_i = (x_i,\, y_i,\, \mathbf{z}_i)$.[1] Let $w = w_n$. Recall $\pi_{\mathbf{z}}\circ\psi^{i+1}_i(w_{i+1}) = \sigma_i\,\mathbf{z}_{i+1} + \mathbf{p}_i(\sigma_i\,y_{i+1})$. Since $\Psi^n_k = \psi^{k+1}_k\circ\Psi^n_{k+1}$, we estimate $\mathbf{z}_k$ using recursive formula

---

[1] For notational compatibility, let $\Psi^i_i = \mathrm{id}$ and let $\sigma_{i,i} = 1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5.7)\qquad & \\
\mathbf{z}_k = \;& \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w) = \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \psi_k^{k+1}(w_{k+1}) \\
= \;& \sigma_k \cdot \mathbf{z}_{k+1} + \mathbf{p}_k(\sigma_k \cdot y_{k+1}) \\
= \;& \sigma_k \big[\, \sigma_{k+1} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{k+2} + \mathbf{p}_{k+1}(\sigma_{k+1} \cdot y_{k+2}) \,\big] + \mathbf{p}_k(\sigma_k \cdot y_{k+1}) \\
= \;& \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{k+2} + \sigma_k \cdot \mathbf{p}_{k+1}(\sigma_{k+1} \cdot y_{k+2}) + \mathbf{p}_k(\sigma_k \cdot y_{k+1}) \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad \vdots \\
= \;& \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \big[\, \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_{n-2} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{n-1}(\sigma_{n-1} \cdot y) \\
& \quad + \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_{n-3} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{n-2}(\sigma_{n-2} \cdot y_{n-1}) + \cdots + \mathbf{p}_k(\sigma_k \cdot y_{k+1}) \,\big] \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \sigma_{n-1,k} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{n-1}(\sigma_{n-1} \cdot y) + \sigma_{n-2,k} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{n-2}(\sigma_{n-2} \cdot y_{n-1}) + \cdots + \mathbf{p}_k(\sigma_k \cdot y_{k+1}) \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma_{i,k} \cdot \mathbf{p}_i(\sigma_i \cdot y_{i+1})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{k+1,k} = \sigma_k$. Moreover, $H_i \circ \Lambda_i(w) = (\phi_i^{-1}(\sigma_i w),\ \sigma_i\, y,\ \bullet)$ for each $k \le i \le n-1$. Thus

$$\sigma_{n,i} \cdot y = \sigma_i \cdot y_{i+1} = y_i$$

Secondly, let us estimate $\dot{\mathbf{z}}^1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}^2 = \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w^1) - \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w^2)$ where $w^j \in B(R^nF)$ for $j = 1, 2$. By the equation (5.7) and Mean Value Theorem, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{z}}^1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}^2 = \;& \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w^1) - \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w^2) \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z}^1 - \mathbf{z}^2) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma_{i,k} \cdot \big[\, \mathbf{p}_i(\sigma_i \cdot y_{i+1}^1) - \mathbf{p}_i(\sigma_i \cdot y_{i+1}^2) \,\big] \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z}^1 - \mathbf{z}^2) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma_{i,k} \cdot \big[\, \mathbf{p}_i(\sigma_{n,i} \cdot y^1) - \mathbf{p}_i(\sigma_{n,i} \cdot y^2) \,\big] \qquad (5.8) \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z}^1 - \mathbf{z}^2) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma_{i,k} \cdot \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,i} \cdot \bar{y}) \cdot \sigma_{n,i+1} \cdot (y^1 - y^2) \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z}^1 - \mathbf{z}^2) + \sigma_{n,k} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,i} \cdot \bar{y}) \cdot (y^1 - y^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{y}$ is in the line segment between y^1 and y^2 which is contained in $\pi_y \circ B(R^nF)$. Moreover, by the expression of Ψ_k^n,

$$\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w) = \sigma_{n,k} \big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,k}\, y + z + \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(y) \,\big].$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{z}}^1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}^2 = \;& \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w^1) - \pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ \Psi_k^n(w^2) \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,k}\, (y^1 - y^2) + (\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2) + \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(y^1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(y^2) \,\big] \qquad (5.9) \\
= \;& \sigma_{n,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z}^1 - \mathbf{z}^2) + \sigma_{n,k} \cdot \big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,k}\, (y^1 - y^2) + \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(y^1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(y^2) \,\big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Compare equations (5.8) and (5.9). Then

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i} \cdot \bar{y}) \cdot (y^1 - y^2) = \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}\,(y^1 - y^2) + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y^1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y^2)$$

for $k < n$. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) = \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} + (\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(y)$$

for every $w \in B(R^nF)$ and for each $k < n$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) = \mathbf{d}_{*,\,k}.$$

The convergence is exponentially fast.

Proof. Let us compare the equation (5.8) and (5.9)

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma_{i,\,k} \cdot \big[\, \mathbf{p}_i\,(\sigma_{n,\,i} \cdot y^1) - \mathbf{p}_i\,(\sigma_{n,\,i} \cdot y^2)\,\big] \;=\; \sigma_{n,\,k} \cdot \big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}\,(y^1 - y^2) + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y^1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y^2)\,\big] \tag{5.10}$$

$$\sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma_{i,\;k} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{p}_i\,(\sigma_{n,\,i} \cdot y^1) - \mathbf{p}_i\,(\sigma_{n,\,i} \cdot y^2)}{y^1 - y^2} \;=\; \sigma_{n,\,k} \cdot \left[\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} + \frac{\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y^1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y^2)}{y^1 - y^2}\right]. \tag{5.11}$$

Since both y^1 and y^2 are arbitrary, we may choose two points y and $y + h$ instead of y^1 and y^2. The differentiability of both $\mathbf{p}_i$ and $\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}$ enable us to take the limit of (5.11) as $h \to 0$. Then

$$\sigma_{n,\,k} \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i} \cdot y) = \sigma_{n,\,k} \cdot \big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} + (\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(y)\,\big]$$

for every $y \in \pi_y(B(R^nF))$. Moreover, $\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} \to \mathbf{d}_{*,\,k}$ as $n \to \infty$ super exponentially fast by Proposition 5.1 and $(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'$ converges to zero exponentially fast by Proposition 5.2. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\pi_y \circ \Psi^n_{i,\,\mathbf{v}}(w)) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} + (\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(y)\,\big] \\ &= \mathbf{d}_{*,\,k}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us collect the estimations of numbers and functions which are used in the following sections.

(1) $|t_{k+1,\,k}|$, $\|\mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k}\|$ and $\|\mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k}\|$ are $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$.

(2) $\|\mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}\|$ and $\|\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}\|$ are $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$.

(3) $\sigma_{n,\,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1+O(\rho^k))$ and $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1+O(\rho^k))$ for $k < n$ and for some $0<\rho<1$.

(4) $\|\mathbf{R}_{k+1,\,k}\|$ is $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$.

(5) $\|\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}\|$ and $\|(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'\|$ are $O\big(\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$ by Proposition 5.2.

6. Unbounded geometry on the Cantor set

The unbounded geometry of a certain class of $m+2$ dimensional Hénon-like maps would be proved. The notations in this section is used in [HLM] and adapted in $m+2$ dimensional maps. Recall the *pieces* $B^n_{\mathbf{w}} \equiv B^n_{\mathbf{w}}(F) = \Psi^n_{\mathbf{w}}(B)$ on the n^{th} level or n^{th} generation . The word, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1 \ldots w_n) \in W^n := \{v, c\}^n$ has length n. Recall that the map

$$\mathbf{w} = (w_1 \ldots w_n) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{k+1} 2^k$$

is the one to one correspondence between words of length n and the additive group of numbers with base 2 mod 2^n. Let the subset of the critical Cantor set on each pieces be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}} \equiv B^n_{\mathbf{w}} \cap \mathcal{O}$. Then by the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}$, we have the following fact.

(1)
$$\mathcal{O}_F = \bigcup_{\mathbf{w}\in W^n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}$$

(2) $F(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) \subset B^n_{\mathbf{w}+1}$ for every $\mathbf{w} = (w_1 \ldots w_n) \in W^n$.

(3) $\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) \leq C\sigma^n$ for some $C>0$ depending only on B and $\bar{\varepsilon}$.

Let the minimal distance between two boxes B_1, B_2 be the infimum of the distance between all elements of each boxes and express this distance to be $\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B_1, B_2)$.

Definition 6.1. The map $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ has the *bounded geometry* if

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\asymp \operatorname{diam}(B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}\nu}) \quad \text{for } \nu \in \{v, c\} \\ \operatorname{diam}(B^{n}_{\mathbf{w}}) &\asymp \operatorname{diam}(B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}\nu}) \quad \text{for } \nu \in \{v, c\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$ and for all $n \geq 0$.

If F does not have bounded geometry, then we call $\mathcal{O}_F$ has *unbounded geometry.*

6.1. Horizontal overlap of two adjacent boxes. The proof of unbounded geometry of the Cantor set requires to compare the diameter of box and the minimal distance of two adjacent boxes. In order to compare these quantities, we would use the maps, $\Psi^n_k(w)$ and $F_k(w)$ with the two points $w_1 = (x_1, y_1, \mathbf{z}_1)$ and $w_2 = (x_2, y_2, \mathbf{z}_2)$ in $F_n(B)$. Recall that $\mathbf{z}_j = (z^1_j, z^2_j, \ldots, z^m_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let us each successive image of w_j under $\Psi^n_k(w)$ and $F_k(w)$ be $\dot{w}_j$, $\ddot{w}_j$ and $\dddot{w}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} w_j \arrow[r, mapsto, "\Psi^n_k"] & \dot{w}_j \arrow[r, mapsto, "F_k"] & \ddot{w}_j \arrow[r, mapsto, "\Psi^k_0"] & \dddot{w}_j \end{tikzcd}$$

For example, $\dot{w}_j = \Psi^n_k(w_j)$ and $\dot{w}_j = (\dot{x}_j, \dot{y}_j, \dot{\mathbf{z}}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let S_1 and S_2 be the (path) connected set on $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$. If $\pi_x(\overline{S_1}) \cap \pi_x(\overline{S_2})$ contains at least two points, then this intersection is called the $x-$*axis overlap* or *horizontal overlap* of S_1 and S_2. Moreover, we say S_1 *overlaps* S_2 on the $x-$axis or horizontally. Recall σ is the linear scaling of F_*, the fixed point of the renormalization operator and $\sigma_k = \sigma(1 + O(\rho^k))$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall the map Ψ^n_k from $B(R^nF)$ to $B^n_{\mathbf{v}}(R^kF)$ where $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k} \in W^{n-k}$

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{n,\,k} & \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \\ & 1 & \\ & \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} & \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & & \sigma_{n,\,k}\cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S^n_k(w) \\ y \\ \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^k))$ and $\sigma_{n,\,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^k))$. Thus for any $w \in B(R^nF)$ we have the following equation

$$\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_k(w) = \alpha_{n,\,k}(x + S^n_k(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,k}\big(t_{n,\,k}\, y + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y))\big).$$

Let us find the sufficient condition of the horizontal overlapping. Horizontal overlapping means that there exist two points $w_1 \in B^1_v(R^nF)$ and $w_2 \in B^1_c(R^nF)$ satisfying the equation

$$\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_k(w_1) - \pi_x \circ \Psi^n_k(w_2) = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{n,\,k}\Big[\big(x_1 + S^n_k(w_1)\big) - \big(x_2 + S^n_k(w_2)\big)\Big] \\ &\qquad + \sigma_{n,\,k}\Big[\,t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big\{\,\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\big\}\Big] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Recall that $x + S^n_k(w) = v_*(x) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k})$ for some $0 < \rho < 1$. Since the universal map $v_*(x)$ is a diffeomorphism and $|x_1 - x_2| = O(1)$, we have the estimation by mean value theorem

$$\big|\, x_1 + S^n_k(w_1) - \big(x_2 + S^n_k(w_2)\big)\big| = O(1).$$

Recall that τ_i is the tip of F_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Let $b_1 = b/b_{\mathbf{z}}$ where b is the average Jacobian of F and $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ is the universal number defined in Proposition 4.2. Then*

$$b_1^{2^k} \asymp t_{n,\,k}$$

for $n > k + A$ where A is a uniform constant depending only on b_1 and $\bar{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Plugging τ_n into the equation (4.10) in Lemma 4.5. Then

$$\partial_y \varepsilon_k(\tau_k) + E_k(\tau_k) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ \big(\pi_y(\tau_i)\big) - E_k(\tau_k) \cdot (Z_n(\tau_n))^{-1} \cdot Y_n(\tau_n) = b_1^{2^k} a(\pi_x(\tau_k))(1 + O(\rho^k)) \tag{6.2}$$

where $x \mapsto a(x)$ is the universal map. Recall that $H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1} = \Psi_k^{k+1}$. Since $F \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_n(\tau_n))^{-1} \cdot Y_n(\tau_n) + X_n(c_{F_n}) = {} & (Z_n(\tau_n))^{-1} \cdot \Big[Y_n(\tau_n) + Z_n(\tau_n) \cdot X_n(c_{F_n}) \Big] \\ = {} & \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\| E_k(\tau_k) \| \cdot \| (Z_n(\tau_n))^{-1} \cdot Y_n(\tau_n) \| \le \| E_k(\tau_k) \| \cdot \| X_n(c_{F_n}) \| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$. Let us find the sufficient condition satisfying $\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} \lesssim b_1^{2^k}$. If $b_1 \ge \bar{\varepsilon}^2$, then $\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} \le b_1^{2^k}$ for $n > k$. Assume that $b_1 < \bar{\varepsilon}^2 \ll 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \lesssim b_1^{2^k} \iff {} & (2^n + 2^k) \log \bar{\varepsilon} \ \lesssim \ 2^k \log b_1 \\ \iff {} & 2^n \ge 2^k \left(\frac{\log b_1}{\log \bar{\varepsilon}} - 1 \right) + C_0 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive $C_0 > 0$. Define A as follows

$$A = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{where} \quad b_1 \ge \bar{\varepsilon}^2 \\ C_1 \, \log_2 \left(\dfrac{\log b_1}{\log \bar{\varepsilon}} - 1 \right) & \text{where} \quad b_1 < \bar{\varepsilon}^2 \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

Thus if $n \ge k + A$, then $\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \lesssim b_1^{2^k}$. Let us compare each components of the derivatives of $D(\Psi_k^{k+1})^{-1}(\tau_k)$ and $(D_k^{k+1})^{-1} = D(\Lambda_k \circ H_k)(\tau_k)$. Then comparison of each elements of the matrices shows that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k+1,\,k} - \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k} \ &= \ \frac{\alpha_{k+1,\,k}}{\sigma_{k+1,\,k}} \cdot \partial_y \varepsilon_k(\tau_k) \\ \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \ &= \ \frac{\alpha_{k+1,\,k}}{\sigma_{k+1,\,k}} \cdot E_k(\tau_k), \qquad \mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,i} \ = \ \mathbf{q}_i \circ \big(\pi_y(\tau_i)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

The equation, $\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} = \displaystyle\sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,i}$ holds by Proposition 5.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} = {} & t_{k+1,\,k} - \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} \\ = {} & t_{k+1,\,k} - \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{d}_{i+1,\,i} \\ = {} & \frac{\alpha_{k+1,\,k}}{\sigma_{k+1,\,k}} \Big[\partial_y \varepsilon_k(\tau_k) + E_k(\tau_k) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ \big(\pi_y(\tau_i)\big) \Big] \\ = {} & b_1^{2^k} \cdot \sigma \cdot a(\pi_x(\tau_k))(1 + O(\rho^k)). \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Hence, by Proposition 5.1 again, $t_{n,\,k}$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n,\,k} &\asymp \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \sigma^{i-k} \left[\, t_{i+1,\,i} + \mathbf{u}_{i+1,\,i} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n,\,i+1} \,\right](1 + O(\rho^k)) \\ &\asymp t_{k+1,\,k} + \mathbf{u}_{k+1,\,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k+1} \\ &\asymp b_1^{2^k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us choose two points w_1' and w_2' in $F_n(B)$. In particular, we may assume that $w_j \in \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}$ where $\pi_z(w_j) = z_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let w_1' and w_2' be the pre-image of w_1 and w_2 respectively. Then

$$|z_1^j - z_2^j| = |\,\delta_n^j(w_1') - \delta_n^j(w_2')| \leq C_j \,\|D\delta_n^j\| \cdot \|\,w_1' - w_2'\| = O(\,\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}) \tag{6.6}$$

for some $C_j > 0$ and for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Thus $\|\,\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Suppose that $\Psi_k^n(B_v^{n+1})$ overlaps $\Psi_k^n(B_c^{n+1})$ on $x-$axis. In particular, $\pi_x \circ \Psi_k^n(B_v^{n+1}) \cap \pi_x \circ \Psi_k^n(B_c^{n+1})$ contains two points $\Psi_k^n(w_1)$ and $\Psi_k^n(w_2)$ where $w_1 \in B_v^{n+1} \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}$ and $w_2 \in B_c^{n+1} \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}$. Then*

$$\sigma^{n-k} \asymp b_1^{2^k}$$

for every big enough k.

Proof. Recall the following equation

$$\pi_x \circ \Psi_k^n(w) = \alpha_{n,\,k}\big[\, x + S_k^n(w)\,\big] + \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\, t_{n,\,k}\, y + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y))\,\big].$$

Recall $x + S_k^n(w) = v_*(x) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k})$ where $v_*(x)$ is a diffeomorphism and

$$|\,v_*(x_1) - v_*(x_2)| = |\,v_*'(\bar{x}) \cdot (x_1 - x_2)| \geq C_0 > 0$$

where $\bar{x}$ is in the line segment between x_1 and x_2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = \;& \alpha_{n,\,k}\big[\big(x_1 + S_k^n(w_1)\big) - \big(x_2 + S_k^n(w_2)\big)\big] \\ &+ \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\, t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big\{\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\big\}\big] \\ = \;& \alpha_{n,\,k}\big[\, v_*'(\bar{x}) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k})\big] \\ &+ \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\, t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big\{\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + (\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(\bar{y}) \cdot (y_1 - y_2)\big\}\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 6.1 and the estimations in the end of Section 5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\,\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2\big| &= \Big|\, C_3 \sigma^{2(n-k)} + \sigma^{n-k} \left[\, C_4\, b_1^{2^k} + C_5\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \big(\,\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} + \sigma^{n-k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)\,\right] \Big| \\ &= \Big|\, \sigma^{2(n-k)} \left[\, C_3 + C_4\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{k+1}}\,\right] + \sigma^{n-k} \left[\, C_4\, b_1^{2^k} + C_5\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\right] \Big| \\ &\leq C_5\, \sigma^{2(n-k)} + C_6\, \sigma^{n-k} \big[\, b_1^{2^k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big] \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

for some constants C_3, C_4, and C_5, which do not have to be positive. Let us take big enough n which satisfies $n \geq k + A$ where A is the constant defined in Proposition 6.1. Then $b_1^{2^k} \gtrsim \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}$ is satisfied. However, by the horizontal overlapping assumption $\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = 0$ for some two points x_1 and x_2. Hence,

$$\sigma^{2(n-k)} \asymp \sigma^{n-k}\, b_1^{2^k}.$$

$\square$

6.2. Unbounded geometry on the critical Cantor set. Let us assume that the $x-$axis overlapping of two boxes, $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(B^{n+1}_v)$ and $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(B^{n+1}_c)$. Under this assumption, we can measure the upper bound the minimal distances of two adjacent boxes $\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}c})$, which are the image of B^{n+1}_v and B^{n+1}_c under $\Psi^k_{0,\,\mathbf{v}} \circ F_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}$ respectively. Compare this minimal distance with the lower bound of the diameter of the one of the above boxes. Then Cantor attractor has the generic unbounded geometry. Moreover, this result is only related to the universal constant b_1 (Theorem 6.7).

Lemma 6.3. *Let the Hénon-like map F is in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Suppose that $B^n_{\mathbf{v}v}(R^kF)$ overlaps $B^n_{\mathbf{v}c}(R^kF)$ on the $x-$axis where the word $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k} \in W^{n-k}$. Then*

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) \leq C\big[\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k} + \sigma^{2k}\sigma^{2(n-k)}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\,\big]$$

where $\mathbf{w} = v^k c\, v^{n-k-1} \in W^n$ for some $C > 0$ and sufficiently big k and $n \geq k + A$.

Proof. Recall the expression of the map Ψ^n_k from $B(R^nF)$ to $B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}}(R^kF)$.

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{n,\,k} & \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \\ & 1 & \\ & \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} & \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & & \sigma_{n,\,k}\cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S^n_k(w) \\ y \\ \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k} \in W^{n-k}$. Let us choose two different points as follows

$$w_1 = (x_1,\, y_1,\, \mathbf{z}_1) \in B^1_v(R^nF) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}, \quad w_2 = (x_2,\, y_2,\, \mathbf{z}_2) \in B^1_c(R^nF) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}.$$

Then by the above expression of Ψ^n_k and the assumption of the overlapping on the $x-$axis, we may assume the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 &= \ 0 \\ \dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2 &= \ \sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}_2 &= \ \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\,\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}\,(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\,\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the definitions of F_k and $\Psi^k_{0,\,\mathbf{v}}$ implies that

$$\dddot{y}_1 - \dddot{y}_2 = \sigma_{k,\,0}\cdot(\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2) = \sigma_{k,\,0}\cdot(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) = 0.$$

By mean value theorem and the fact that $(\ddot{x}_j,\, \ddot{y}_j,\, \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_j) = R^kF(\dot{x}_j,\, \dot{y}_j,\, \dot{\mathbf{z}}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, we obtain the following equations

(6.8)

$$\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2 = \ f_k(\dot{x}_1) - \varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_1) - \big[\, f_k(\dot{x}_2) - \varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_2)\,\big]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_1) + \varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_2) \\
&= -\partial_y \varepsilon_k(\eta) \cdot (\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2) - E_k(\eta) \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}_2) \\
&= -\partial_y \varepsilon_k(\eta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) \\
&\quad\; - E_k(\eta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}\,(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\,\big] \\
&= -\partial_y \varepsilon_k(\eta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) - E_k(\eta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\; \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i}\,\bar{y}) \cdot (y_1 - y_2) \\
&\quad\; - E_k(\eta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2) \\
&= -\Big[\, \partial_y \varepsilon_k(\eta) + E_k(\eta) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i}\,\bar{y}) \,\Big] \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\,(y_1 - y_2) - E_k(\eta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\,(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where η is some point in the line segment between $\dot{w}_1$ and $\dot{w}_2$ in $\Psi^n_k(B)$ and $\bar{y}$ is in the line segment between y_1 and y_2. The second last equation is involved with Proposition 5.3. Recall that $|\,y_1 - y_2| \asymp 1$ and $\|\,\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2\| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\big)$ because every point in the critical Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_{F_n}$ has its inverse image under F_n. Thus by Lemma 4.5, we obtain that

$$
|\,\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2| \leq C_1\,\sigma^{n-k}\big[\, b_1^{2^k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big]. \tag{6.9}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2 \\
={}& \boldsymbol{\delta}_k(\dot{w}_1) - \boldsymbol{\delta}_k(\dot{w}_2) \\
={}& Y_k(\zeta) \cdot (\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2) + Z_k(\zeta) \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}_2) \\
={}& Y_k(\zeta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + Z_k(\zeta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\, \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\,\big] \\
={}& Y_k(\zeta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\,(y_1 - y_2) + Z_k(\zeta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\; \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i}\,\bar{y}) \cdot (y_1 - y_2) \\
&\; + Z_k(\zeta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\,(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)
\end{aligned}
$$

(6.10)

$$
= \Big[\, Y_k(\zeta) + Z_k(\zeta) \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} \mathbf{q}_i \circ (\sigma_{n,\,i}\,\bar{y}) \Big] \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\,(y_1 - y_2) + Z_k(\zeta) \cdot \sigma_{n,\,k}\,(\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)
$$

where ζ is some point in the line segment between $\dot{w}_1$ and $\dot{w}_2$ in $\Psi^n_k(B)$. By Corollary 4.4, the upper bounds of $\|\,\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\|$ is

$$
\|\,\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\| \leq C_2\,\sigma^{n-k}\big[\, \sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big]. \tag{6.11}
$$

Recall

$$
\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_k(w) = \alpha_{n,\,k}\big[\, x + S^n_k(w)\,\big] + \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\, t_{n,\,k}\,y + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y))\,\big].
$$

Then the fact that $\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2 = 0$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dddot{x}_1 - \dddot{x}_2 &= \pi_x \circ \Psi^k_0(\ddot{w}_1) - \pi_x \circ \Psi^k_0(\ddot{w}_2) \\
&= \alpha_{k,0}\big[\, (\ddot{x}_1 + S^k_0(\ddot{w}_1)) - (\ddot{x}_2 + S^k_0(\ddot{w}_2))\,\big] \\
&\qquad + \sigma_{k,0}\,\big[\, t_{k,0}\,(\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2) + \mathbf{u}_{k,0}\cdot \big(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{k,0}(\ddot{y}_1) - \mathbf{R}_{k,0}(\ddot{y}_2)\big)\,\big] \\
&= \alpha_{k,0}\big[\, v'_*(\bar{x}) + O(\bar{\varepsilon} + \rho^k)\,\big](\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2) + \sigma_{k,0}\cdot \mathbf{u}_{k,0}\cdot(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ is some point in the line segment between $\ddot{x}_1$ and $\ddot{x}_2$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dddot{\mathbf{z}}_2 &= \pi_z \circ \Psi^k_0(\ddot{w}_1) - \pi_z \circ \Psi^k_0(\ddot{w}_2) \\
&= \sigma_{k,0}\,(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2) + \sigma_{k,0}\big[\, \mathbf{d}_{k,0}(\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2) + \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(\ddot{y}_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,k}(\ddot{y}_2)\,\big] \\
&= \sigma_{k,0}\,(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}
$$

Let us apply the estimations in (6.9) and (6.11) to $|\,\dddot{x}_1 - \dddot{x}_2|$ and $\|\,\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\|$. Then the minimal distance is bounded above as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\le |\,\dddot{x}_1 - \dddot{x}_2| + \|\,\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\| \\
&\le \big[\,\sigma^{2k}\cdot|\,\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2|\cdot v_*(\bar{x}) + \sigma^k\cdot(1 + \|\mathbf{u}_{k,0}\|)\,\|\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\|\,\big](1 + O(\rho^k)) \\
&\le C_3\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}\left[\, b_1^{2^k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\right] + C_4\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}\left[\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\right] \\
&\le C_5\left[\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k} + \sigma^{2k}\sigma^{2(n-k)}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} + \sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\,\right] \\
&= C_5\left[\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{2(n-k)}\big(b_1^{2^k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\big) + \sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)}\big(\sigma^k\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)\,\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}
$$

for some positive numbers C_3, C_4 and C_5. Moreover, if n is big enough satisfying $n \ge k + A$ where A is the constant defined in Proposition 6.1, then we obtain the condition, $b_1^{2^k} \gtrsim \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}$. Hence, the estimation (6.14) is refined as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\le |\,\dddot{x}_1 - \dddot{x}_2| + \|\,\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\| \\
&\le C\big[\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k} + \sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\,\big]
\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}
$$

for some $C > 0$. ☐

Let the box $B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}$ be $\Psi^n_{0,\mathbf{w}}(B^1_v(R^nF))$ for the word $\mathbf{w}$ of length n.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Take big enough n such that $n \ge k + A$ where A is the number defined in Proposition 6.1 depending only on $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and b_1. Then*

$$
\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) \ge |\,C_1\,\sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)} - C_2\,\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k}\,|
$$

where $\mathbf{w} = v^k c\, v^{n-k-1} \in W^n$ for some positive constants C_1 and C_2.

Proof. Let us choose two points

$$
w_j = (x_j,\, y_j,\, \mathbf{z}_j) \in B^1_v(R^nF) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}
$$

for $j = 1, 2$ satisfying $|\,x_1 - x_2| \asymp 1$ and $|\,y_1 - y_2| = O(1)$. Thus we may assume that $\|\,\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$ by the equation (6.6). Recall that the diameter of the box $B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}$ is greater than the distance between any two points in $B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}$. Let $\dddot{w}_j = \Psi^k_{0,\,\mathbf{v}} \circ F_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(w_j)$, $\Psi^k_{0,\,\mathbf{v}}(w_j) = \dot{w}_j$, and $F_k(\dot{w}_j) = \ddot{w}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$\operatorname{diam}(B^{n+1}_v) = \sup \left\{ \| w_1 - w_2 \| \;\middle|\; w_1,\ w_2 \in B^{n+1}_v \right\} \asymp 1.$$

We may assume that $|\, x_1 - x_2| \asymp 1$ and $|\, y_1 - y_2| \asymp 1$ by the appropriate choice of w_1 and w_2. The definition of the Hénon-like map, F_k and the coordinate change map, $\Psi^k_{0,\,\mathbf{v}}$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) &\geq \| \dddot{w}_1 - \dddot{w}_2 \| \geq |\, \dddot{y}_1 - \dddot{y}_2| \\
&= |\, \sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2)| \\
&= |\, \sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2)| \\
&= \big|\, \sigma_{k,\,0} \big[\, \pi_x \circ \Psi^n_k(w_1) - \pi_x \circ \Psi^n_k(w_2) \,\big] \big|
\end{aligned}$$

for any two points $\dddot{w}_1, \dddot{w}_2 \in B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}$. Recall the equation

$$\pi_x \circ \Psi^n_k(w) = \alpha_{n,\,k} \big[\, x + S^n_k(w) \,\big] + \sigma_{n,\,k} \big[\, t_{n,\,k}\, y + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y)) \,\big].$$

and recall $x + S^n_k(w) = v_*(x) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k})$ for a diffeomorphism $v_*(x)$. Thus

$$|\, v_*(x_1) - v_*(x_2)| = |\, v'_*(\bar{x}) \cdot (x_1 - x_2)| \geq C_0 > 0$$

where $\bar{x}$ is in the line segment between x_1 and x_2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = {}& \alpha_{n,\,k} \big[\big(x_1 + S^n_k(w_1)\big) - \big(x_2 + S^n_k(w_2)\big) \big] \\
& + \sigma_{n,\,k} \big[\, t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big\{ \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2) \big\} \big] \\
= {}& \alpha_{n,\,k} \big[\, v'_*(\bar{x}) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k}) \big] \\
& + \sigma_{n,\,k} \big[\, t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big\{ \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + (\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(\bar{y}) \cdot (y_1 - y_2) \big\} \big]
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 6.1 and the estimations in the end of Section 5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\, \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 \big| &= \Big|\, C_3 \sigma^{2(n-k)} + \sigma^{n-k} \big[\, C_4\, b_1^{2^k} + C_5\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \big(\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} + \sigma^{n-k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \big) \,\big] \Big| \\
&= \Big|\, \sigma^{2(n-k)} \big[\, C_3 + C_4\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{k+1}} \,\big] + \sigma^{n-k} \big[\, C_4\, b_1^{2^k} + C_5\, \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} \,\big] \Big|
\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

for some constants C_3, C_4, and C_5, which do not have to be positive. Let us take big enough n satisfying $n \geq k + A$ where A is defined in Proposition 6.1. Thus we obtain that $b_1^{2^k} \gtrsim \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) \geq \big|\, \dddot{y}_1 - \dddot{y}_2 \big| \geq \big|\, \sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) \big| \geq \big|\, C_1\, \sigma^k \sigma^{2(n-k)} - C_2\, \sigma^k \sigma^{n-k} b_1^{2^k} \big|$$

where $\mathbf{w} = v^k c\, v^{n-k-1} \in W^n$.

□

Remark 6.1. In the above lemma, we may choose two points w_1 and w_2 which maximize $|\, \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2|$. Thus we may assume that

$$\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) \geq \max \big\{\, C_1\, \sigma^k \sigma^{2(n-k)},\ \ C_2\, \sigma^k \sigma^{n-k} b_1^{2^k} \,\big\}$$

with appropriate positive constants C_1 and C_2.

For the generic geometry of the Cantor attractor, both k and n travels through any big natural numbers toward the infinity. Then by the comparison of the diameter of the box and minimal distance between adjacent boxes, $\mathcal{O}_F$ has the unbounded geometry.

Proposition 6.5. *Let F_{b_1} is an element of parametrized family for $b_1 \in [0,1]$ in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. If $b_1^{2^k} \asymp \sigma^{n-k}$ for infinitely many k and n, then there exists b_1 for F_{b_1} such that $B^n_{\mathbf{v}v}(R^kF)$ overlaps $B^n_{\mathbf{v}c}(R^kF)$ on the $x-$axis where the word $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k} \in W^{n-k}$. Furthermore F_{b_1} has no bounded geometry on $\mathcal{O}_{F_{b_1}}$.*

Proof. Let us choose the two points

$$w_1 = (x_1,\, y_1,\, \mathbf{z}_1) \in B^1_v(R^nF) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}, \quad w_2 = (x_2,\, y_2,\, \mathbf{z}_2) \in B^1_c(R^nF) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}$$

such that $|x_1 - x_2| \asymp 1$, $|y_1 - y_2| \asymp 1$. Recall $\|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$. Let $\dot{w}_j = (\dot{x}_j,\, \dot{y}_j,\, \dot{\mathbf{z}}_j)$ be $\Psi^n_k(w_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = \ & \alpha_{n,\,k}\Big[\big(x_1 + S^n_k(w_1)\big) - \big(x_2 + S^n_k(w_2)\big)\Big] \\ & + \sigma_{n,\,k}\Big[\, t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big\{\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\big\}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Recall that $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^k))$, $\sigma_{n,\,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^k))$ and $x + S^n_k(w) = v_*(x) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k})$. Since v_* is a diffeomorphism and $|\,x_1 - x_2| \asymp 1$, $|\,v_*(x_1) - v_*(x_2)| \asymp 1$ by mean value theorem. Moreover, Proposition 6.1 implies that

$$b_1^{2^k} \asymp t_{n,\,k}.$$

In addition to the above estimation, the fact that $\|(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'\| = O\big(\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$ and the estimation in (6.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\, \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot \big\{\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\,\big\}\,\big| & \leq \big\|\, \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \cdot (\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2)\big\| + \big\|(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(\bar{y}) \cdot (y_1 - y_2)\big\| \\ & = O\big(\,\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\,\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\big) + O\big(\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

If $n \geq k + A$ where A is the constant defined in Proposition 6.1, then we express the equation (6.17) as follows

$$\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = \sigma^{2(n-k)}\big[\,v_*(x_1) - v_*(x_2)\,\big] \cdot \big[\,1 + r_{n,\,k}\, b_1^{2^k}\,(-\sigma)^{-(n-k)}\big](1 + O(\rho^k))$$

where $r_{n,\,k}$ depends uniformly on b_1.

Let us compare the distance of two adjacent boxes and the diameter of the box for every big $k + A < n$. Let us take n such that $\sigma^{n-k} \asymp b_1^{2^k}$. We may assume that $B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}v}(R^kF)$ overlaps $B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}c}(R^kF)$ on the $x-$axis where $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k-1} \in W^{n-k-1}$. By Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) & \geq \big|\, C_1\,\sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)} - C_2\,\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k}\,\big| \\ \operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) & \leq C_0\big[\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k} + \sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\,\big] \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = v^k c\, v^{n-k-1} \in W^n$ for some numbers $C_0 > 0$ and C_1 and C_2. Hence,

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) \leq C\,\sigma^k\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v})$$

for every sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $C > 0$. Then the critical Cantor set has unbounded geometry. $\square$

Overlapping with $\sigma^{n-k} \asymp b_1^{2^k}$ is valid almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure in [HLM].

Theorem 6.6 ([HLM])**.** *Given any $0 < A_0 < A_1$, $0 < \sigma < 1$ and any $p \geq 2$, the set of parameters $b \in [0,1]$ for which there are infinitely many $0 < k < n$ satisfying*

$$A_0 < \frac{b^{p^k}}{\sigma^{n-k}} < A_1$$

is a dense G_δ set with full Lebesgue measure.

Unbounded geometry is almost everywhere property in the parameter set of b_1 for every fixed b_2. By Corollary 6.2, the condition of the overlapping of two adjacent boxes, $B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}v}(R^kF)$ and $B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}c}(R^kF)$ on the $x-$axis implies that

$$\sigma^{n-k} \asymp b_1^{2^k}$$

for infinitely many k and n. Then

Theorem 6.7. *Let F_{b_1} be an element of parametrized space in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$ with $b_1 = b_F/b_{\mathbf{z}}$. Then there exists a small interval $[0, b_\bullet]$ for which there exists a G_δ subset $S \subset [0, b_\bullet]$ with full Lebesgue measure such that the critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_{F_{b_1}}$ has unbounded geometry for all $b_1 \in S$.*

7. Non rigidity on the critical Cantor set

Let F and $\widetilde{F}$ be Hénon-like maps in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\overline{\varepsilon})$. Let the universal number b_1 and $\widetilde{b}_1$ are for the map F and $\widetilde{F}$. Non rigidity on the Cantor set with respect to the universal constant b_1 means that the homeomorphism between critical Cantor sets, $\mathcal{O}_F$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{F}}$ is at most $\alpha-$Hölder continuous with a constant $\alpha < 1$ (Theorem 7.2 below). This kind of non rigidity phenomenon is a generalization of two dimensional non rigidity theorem in [CLM]. However, non rigidity theorem in three or higher dimension only depends essentially on the contracting rate b_1 from two dimensional Hénon-like map in higher dimension.

7.1. Bounds of the distance between two points. Let us consider the box

$$B^n_{\mathbf{w}} = \Psi^k_0 \circ F_k \circ \Psi^n_k(B)$$

where $B = B(R^nF)$. Since $\operatorname{diam} B(R^nF) \asymp \operatorname{diam} B^1_v(R^nF)$, by Lemma 6.4 we have the estimation of the lower bound of $\operatorname{diam} B(R^nF)$ as follows

$$\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) \geq \left| C_1\, \sigma^k \sigma^{2(n-k)} - C_2\, \sigma^k \sigma^{n-k} b_1^{2^k} \right| \tag{7.1}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = v^k c\, v^{n-k-1} \in W^n$ for some constants C_1 and C_2. Let us estimate the upper bound of the distance.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $F \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Then*

$$\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) \le C\,\big[\,\sigma^k \sigma^{2(n-k)} + \sigma^k \sigma^{n-k} b_1^{2^k}\,\big]$$

where $\mathbf{w} = v^k c\, v^{n-k-1} \in W^n$ for some $C > 0$.

Proof. Recall the map Ψ^n_k from $B(R^nF)$ to $B^n_{\mathbf{v}}(R^kF)$.

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{n,\,k} & \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k} \\ & 1 & \\ & \mathbf{d}_{n,\,k} & \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & & \sigma_{n,\,k}\cdot \mathrm{Id}_{m\times m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S^n_k(w) \\ y \\ z + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k} \in W^{n-k}$. Let us choose the two points

$$w_1 = (x_1,\, y_1,\, \mathbf{z}_1) \in B^1_v(R^nF) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}, \quad w_2 = (x_2,\, y_2,\, \mathbf{z}_2) \in B^1_c(R^nF) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF}.$$

Recall $\dot{w}_j = \Psi^n_k(w_j)$, $\ddot{w}_j = F_k(\dot{w}_j)$ and $\dddot{w}_j = \Psi^k_0(\ddot{w}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Observe that $|x_1 - x_2|$ and $|y_1 - y_2|$ is $O(1)$. We may assume that $\|\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$ because $\mathcal{O}_{R^nF}$ is a completely invariant set under R^nF. By Corollary 6.2 and the equation (6.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = \;& \alpha_{n,\,k}\big[\big(x_1 + S^n_k(w_1)\big) - \big(x_2 + S^n_k(w_2)\big)\big] \\ & + \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\,t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}\cdot \big\{\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\big\}\big] \\ = \;& \alpha_{n,\,k}\big[\,v_*'(\bar{x}) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k})\big](x_1 - x_2) \\ & + \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\,t_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{u}_{n,\,k}\cdot \big\{\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\big\}\big] \\ \le \;& C\,\big[\,\sigma^{2(n-k)} + \sigma^{n-k} b_1^{2^k} + \sigma^{2(n-k)}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\,\big] \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

for some $C > 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2 = \;& \sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}_2 = \;& \sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\,\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\,\big]. \end{aligned}$$

By the equation (6.8), we estimate the distance between each coordinates of $F_k(\dot{w}_1)$ and $F_k(\dot{w}_2)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2 = \;& f_k(\dot{x}_1) - \varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_1) - [f_k(\dot{x}_2) - \varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_2)] \\ = \;& f_k'(\bar{x})\cdot(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) - \varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_1) + \varepsilon_k(\dot{w}_2) \\ = \;& [\,f_k'(\bar{x}) - \partial_x\varepsilon_k(\eta)\,]\cdot(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) - \partial_y\varepsilon_k(\eta)\cdot(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2) - E_k(\eta)\cdot(\dot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}_2) \\ = \;& [\,f_k'(\bar{x}) - \partial_x\varepsilon_k(\eta)\,]\cdot(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) - \partial_y\varepsilon_k(\eta)\cdot\sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) \\ & - E_k(\eta)\cdot\sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\,\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2) + \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1) - \mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\,\big] \\ \ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2 = \;& \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 \\ \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2 = \;& \boldsymbol{\delta}_k(\dot{w}_1) - \boldsymbol{\delta}_k(\dot{w}_2) \\ = \;& X_k(\zeta)\cdot(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) + Y_k(\zeta)\cdot(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2) + Z_k(\zeta)\cdot(\dot{\mathbf{z}}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{z}}_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= X_k(\zeta)\cdot(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)+Y_k(\zeta)\cdot\sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1-y_2)\\
&\qquad + Z_k(\zeta)\cdot\sigma_{n,\,k}\big[\,\mathbf{d}_{n,\,k}(y_1-y_2)+\mathbf{z}_1-\mathbf{z}_2+\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_1)-\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(y_2)\,\big]
\end{aligned}
$$

where η and ζ are some points in the line segment between $\dot w_1$ and $\dot w_2$ in $\Psi^n_k(B)$. The equations (6.8) and (6.9) in Lemma 6.3 implies that

$$
|\,\ddot x_1-\ddot x_2|\le|\,f_k'(\bar x)-\partial_x\varepsilon_k(\eta)\,|\cdot|\,\dot x_1-\dot x_2|+C_2\,\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,b_1^{2^k}+\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}+\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\bar\varepsilon^{2^n}\,\big] \tag{7.3}
$$

and the equations (6.10) and (6.11) in the same Lemma implies that

$$
\|\,\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\|\le\|\,X_k(\zeta)\|\cdot|\,\dot x_1-\dot x_2|+C_3\,\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,\sigma^{n-k}\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}+\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\bar\varepsilon^{2^n}\,\big]. \tag{7.4}
$$

Then the difference of each coordinates of $\Psi^k_0(\ddot w_1)$ and $\Psi^k_0(\ddot w_2)$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dddot x_1-\dddot x_2 &= \pi_x\circ\Psi^k_0(\ddot w_1)-\pi_x\circ\Psi^k_0(\ddot w_2)\\
&= \alpha_{k,\,0}\big[\,(\ddot x_1+S^k_0(\ddot w_1))-(\ddot x_2+S^k_0(\ddot w_2))\,\big]\\
&\qquad+\sigma_{k,\,0}\,\big[\,t_{k,\,0}\,(\ddot y_1-\ddot y_2)+\mathbf{u}_{k,\,0}\cdot\big(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2+\mathbf{R}_{k,\,0}(\ddot y_1)-\mathbf{R}_{k,\,0}(\ddot y_2)\big)\,\big]\\
&= \alpha_{k,\,0}\big[\,v_*'(\bar x)+O(\bar\varepsilon+\rho^k)\,\big](\ddot x_1-\ddot x_2)+\sigma_{k,\,0}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{k,\,0}\cdot(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2)\\
&\qquad+\sigma_{k,\,0}\,\big[\,t_{k,\,0}\,(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)+\mathbf{u}_{k,\,0}\cdot\big(\mathbf{R}_{k,\,0}(\dot x_1)-\mathbf{R}_{k,\,0}(\dot x_2)\big)\,\big]
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}
$$

$$
\dddot y_1-\dddot y_2 = \sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\ddot y_1-\ddot y_2)=\sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_2 &= \pi_z\circ\Psi^k_0(\ddot w_1)-\pi_z\circ\Psi^k_0(\ddot w_2)\\
&= \sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2)+\sigma_{k,\,0}\big[\,\mathbf{d}_{k,\,0}(\ddot y_1-\ddot y_2)+\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(\ddot y_1)-\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(\ddot y_2)\,\big]\\
&= \sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2)+\sigma_{k,\,0}\big[\,\mathbf{d}_{k,\,0}(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)+\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(\dot x_1)-\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(\dot x_2)\,\big].
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}
$$

Let us calculate a upper bound of the distance, $\|\,\dddot w_1-\dddot w_2\|$. Applying the estimation (7.3) and (7.4), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\,\dddot w_1-\dddot w_2\| &\le |\,\dddot x_1-\dddot x_2|+|\,\dddot y_1-\dddot y_2|+\|\,\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\dddot{\mathbf{z}}_2\|\\
&\le \Big|\,\alpha_{k,\,0}\big[\,v_*'(\bar x)+O(\bar\varepsilon+\rho^k)\,\big](\ddot x_1-\ddot x_2)+\sigma_{k,\,0}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{k,\,0}\cdot(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2)\\
&\qquad+\sigma_{k,\,0}\,\big[\,t_{k,\,0}\,(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)+\mathbf{u}_{k,\,0}\cdot\big(\mathbf{R}_{k,\,0}(\dot x_1)-\mathbf{R}_{k,\,0}(\dot x_2)\big)\,\big]\Big|\\
&\qquad+\big|\,\sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)\,\big|+\Big\|\,\sigma_{k,\,0}\,(\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_1-\ddot{\mathbf{z}}_2)+\sigma_{k,\,0}\big[\,\mathbf{d}_{k,\,0}(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)+\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(\dot x_1)-\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k}(\dot x_2)\,\big]\Big\|\\
&\le \big|\,\alpha_{k,\,0}\big[\,v_*'(\bar x)+O(\bar\varepsilon+\rho^k)\,\big]\cdot|\,f'(\bar x)-\partial_x\varepsilon_k(\eta)\,|\cdot|\,\dot x_1-\dot x_2|\\
&\qquad+\big|\,\alpha_{k,\,0}\big[\,v_*'(\bar x)+O(\bar\varepsilon+\rho^k)\,\big]\cdot C_2\,\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,b_1^{2^k}+\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}+\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\bar\varepsilon^{2^n}\,\big]\big|\\
&\qquad+\big|\,\sigma_{k,\,0}\,[\,1+|t_{k,\,0}|+\|\,\mathbf{d}_{k,\,0}\|\,]\,(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)\,\big|+\big|\,\sigma_{k,\,0}\,[\,1+\|\,\mathbf{u}_{k,\,0}\|\,]\big|\cdot\big\|(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'(\widetilde x)\cdot(\dot x_1-\dot x_2)\big\|\\
&\qquad+\big|\,\sigma_{k,\,0}\,[\,1+\|\,\mathbf{u}_{k,\,0}\|\,]\big|\cdot\big[\,\|\,X_k(\zeta)\|\cdot\big|\dot x_1-\dot x_2\big|+C_3\,\sigma^{n-k}\big(\sigma^n\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}+\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\bar\varepsilon^{2^n}\big)\big]
\end{aligned}
$$

After factoring out $|\,\dot x_1-\dot x_2|$, the inequality continues as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq\ C_5\,\sigma^k|\,\dot{x}_1-\dot{x}_2|+C_5\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,b_1^{2^k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big]+C_6\,\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big]\\
&\leq\ C_7\,\sigma^k\big[\,\sigma^{2(n-k)}+\sigma^{n-k}(b_1^{2^k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})\,\big]\\
&\qquad+C_5\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,b_1^{2^k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big]+C_6\,\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big]
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constants, C_j, $2\leq j\leq 7$ which are independent of k and n. The second last line holds by the estimation in (7.2) and $\|(\mathbf{R}_{n,\,k})'\|$ in Proposition 5.2. Then the above estimation continues

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq\ C_7\,\sigma^k\big[\,\sigma^{2(n-k)}+\sigma^{n-k}(b_1^{2^k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})\,\big]+C_5\,\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}\big[\,b_1^{2^k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\,\big]\\
&\qquad+C_8\,\sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\\
&\leq\ \big(C_7+C_5\,\sigma^k\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}+C_8\,\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)\,\sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)}+\big(C_7+C_5\,\sigma^k\big)\,\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k}\\
&\qquad+\big(C_7+C_5\,\sigma^k\big)\,\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant C_8. Moreover, if $n\geq k+A$ where A is the number defined in Proposition 6.1, then

$$b_1^{2^k}\gtrsim\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}})\leq C\,\big[\,\sigma^k\sigma^{2(n-k)}+\sigma^k\sigma^{n-k}b_1^{2^k}\,\big]$$

where $\mathbf{w}=v^kc\,v^{n-k-1}\in W^n$ for some $C>0$. □

Remark 7.1. Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 7.1 implies the lower and upper bounds of $\operatorname{diam}B_{\mathbf{w}}$ where $B_{\mathbf{w}}=\Psi^k_0\circ F_k\circ\Psi^n_k(B(R^nF))$ as follows

$$C_0\,\sigma^k|\,\dot{x}_1-\dot{x}_2|\leq\operatorname{diam}B_{\mathbf{w}}\leq C_1\,\sigma^k|\,\dot{x}_1-\dot{x}_2|$$

for every big enough $k\in\mathbb{N}$, that is, $\operatorname{diam}B_{\mathbf{w}}\asymp\sigma^k|\,\dot{x}_1-\dot{x}_2|$.

7.2. Non rigidity on the Cantor set with respect to b_1.

Theorem 7.2. *Let Hénon-like maps F and $\widetilde{F}$ be in $\mathcal{N}\cap\mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Let b_1 be the ratio $b_F/b_{\mathbf{z}}$ where b_F is the average Jacobian and $b_{\mathbf{z}}$ for F is the number defined in Proposition 4.2. The number $\widetilde{b}_1$ is defined by the similar way for the map $\widetilde{F}$. Let $\phi\colon\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{F}}\to\mathcal{O}_F$ be a homeomorphism which conjugate $F_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ and $\widetilde{F}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{F}}}$ and $\phi(\tau_{\widetilde{F}})=\tau_F$. If $b_1>\widetilde{b}_1$, then the Hölder exponent of ϕ is not greater than $\dfrac{1}{2}\left(1+\dfrac{\log b_1}{\log\widetilde{b}_1}\right)$.*

Proof. Let two points w_1 and w_2 be in $B^1_v(R^nF)$ and $B^1_c(R^nF)$ respectively. Similarly, assume that $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2$ are in $B(R^n\widetilde{F})$. Let us define $\ddot{w}_j=\Psi^k_0\circ F_k\circ\Psi^n_k(w_j)$ for $j=1,2$. The points $\dddot{\widetilde{w}}_1$ and $\dddot{\widetilde{w}}_2$ are defined by the similar way. For sufficiently large $k\in\mathbb{N}$, let us choose n depending on k which satisfies the following inequality

$$\sigma^{n-k+1}\leq\widetilde{b}_1^{2^k}<\sigma^{n-k}.$$

Observe that $b_1^{2^k}\gg\widetilde{b}_1^{2^k}$. By Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 7.1, we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}(\dddot{\widetilde{w}}_1,\, \dddot{\widetilde{w}}_2) &\leq C_0 \left[\, \sigma^k \sigma^{2(n-k)} + \sigma^k \sigma^{n-k}\, \widetilde{b}_1^{2^k} \,\right] \leq C_1\, \sigma^k\, \widetilde{b}_1^{2^k}\, \widetilde{b}_1^{2^k} \\
\operatorname{dist}(\dddot{w}_1,\, \dddot{w}_2) &\geq \big|\, C_2\, \sigma^k \sigma^{2(n-k)} - C_3\, \sigma^k \sigma^{n-k} b_1^{2^k} \big| \geq C_4\, \sigma^k\, \widetilde{b}_1^{2^k}\, b_1^{2^k}
\end{aligned}$$

for some positive C_j where $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and 4. Hölder continuous function, ϕ with the Hölder exponent α has to satisfy

$$\operatorname{dist}(\dddot{w}_1,\, \dddot{w}_2) \leq C \left(\, \operatorname{dist}(\dddot{\widetilde{w}}_1,\, \dddot{\widetilde{w}}_2)\right)^{\alpha}$$

for some $C > 0$. Then we see that

$$\sigma^k\, \widetilde{b}_1^{2^k}\, b_1^{2^k} \leq C \left(\sigma^k\, \widetilde{b}_1^{2^k}\, \widetilde{b}_1^{2^k}\right)^{\alpha}$$

Take the logarithm both sides and divide them by 2^k. After passing the limit, divide both sides by the negative number, $2 \log \widetilde{b}_1$. Then the desired upper bound of the Hölder exponent is obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
k \log \sigma + 2^k \log \widetilde{b}_1 + 2^k \log b_1 &\leq \log C + \alpha \big(k \log \sigma + 2^k \log \widetilde{b}_1 + 2^k \log \widetilde{b}_1\big) \\
\frac{k}{2^k} \log \sigma + \log \widetilde{b}_1 + \log b_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} \log C + \alpha \left(\frac{k}{2^k} \log \sigma + \log \widetilde{b}_1 + \log \widetilde{b}_1\right) \\
\log \widetilde{b}_1 + \log b_1 &\leq \alpha \cdot 2 \log \widetilde{b}_1 \\
\alpha &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\log b_1}{\log \widetilde{b}_1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The best possible regularity of homeomorphic conjugation between two critical Cantor set is unknown. In particular, the possible biggest Hölder exponent of ϕ where F and $\widetilde{F}$ are two dimensional Hénon-like map and $b_F = b_{\widetilde{F}}$. However, the average Jacobian of higher dimensional Hénon-like map in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ less affects the non rigidity than the number b_1. In other words, in higher dimension we may less expect the rigidity between two different Cantor attractors.

A. Recursive formula of $D\boldsymbol{\delta}_1$

The pre-renormalization of Hénon-like map,

$$PRF = H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$$

where $H^{-1}(w) = (\phi^{-1}(w),\, y,\, \mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\delta}(y, f^{-1}(y), \mathbf{0}))$. Let $\operatorname{Pre} \boldsymbol{\delta}_1$ be $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ PRF$. The renormalization of F, RF is $\Lambda \circ PRF \circ \Lambda^{-1}$. The third coordinate of RF, $\boldsymbol{\delta}_1(w)$ is $\dfrac{1}{\sigma_0} \operatorname{Pre} \boldsymbol{\delta}_1(\sigma_0\, w)$. Recall that $\boldsymbol{\delta}(w) = (\delta^1(w),\ \delta^2(w), \ldots,\ \delta^m(w))$. Thus each partial derivatives of j^{th} coordinate function $\delta^j(w)$ is each partial derivatives of $\operatorname{Pre} \delta_1^j$ at $\sigma_0 w$.

Lemma A.1. *Let F be the $m+2$ dimensional renormalizable Hénon-like map. Let $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ F = \boldsymbol{\delta}$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{z}} \circ RF = \boldsymbol{\delta}_1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x \delta_1^j(w) &= \Big[\, \partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \Big] \cdot \partial_x \phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w) \\
&\quad + \partial_x \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) - \frac{d}{dx}\, \delta^j(\sigma_0 x,\ f^{-1}(\sigma_0 x), \mathbf{0}) \\
\partial_y \delta_1^j(w) &= \Big[\, \partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \Big] \cdot \partial_y \phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \Big[\, \partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi_v^1(w) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{z_i} \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \cdot \frac{d}{dy}\, \delta^i(\sigma_0 y, f^{-1}(\sigma_0 y), \mathbf{0}) \Big] \\
\partial_{z_i} \delta_1^j(w) &= \Big[\, \partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w) \Big] \cdot \partial_{z_i} \phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi_c^1(w) \cdot \partial_{z_i} \delta^l \circ \psi_v^1(w)
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. The expression of $\operatorname{Pre} \boldsymbol{\delta}_1$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pre} \boldsymbol{\delta}_1(w) &= \boldsymbol{\delta} \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) - \boldsymbol{\delta}(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0}) \\
&= \boldsymbol{\delta}(x,\ \phi^{-1}(w),\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \circ H^{-1}(w)) - \boldsymbol{\delta}(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0}).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall $\boldsymbol{\delta}(w) = (\delta^1(w),\ \delta^2(w), \ldots,\ \delta^m(w))$. The j^{th} coordinate function of $\delta_1^j(w)$ or $\operatorname{Pre} \delta_1^j(w)$ is defined similarly for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let us calculate $\partial_x \operatorname{Pre} \delta_1^j(w)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_x \operatorname{Pre} \delta_1^j(w) \\
={}& \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\delta^j \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) \right) - \frac{d}{dx}\, \delta^j(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0}) \\
={}& \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\, \delta^j(x,\ \phi^{-1}(w),\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \circ H(w)) - \frac{d}{dx}\, \delta^j(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0}) \\
={}& \partial_x \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) + \partial_y \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x \phi^{-1}(w) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x (\, \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w)) - \frac{d}{dx}\, \delta^j(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0}) \\
={}& \partial_x \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) + \partial_y \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x \phi^{-1}(w) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w) \cdot \partial_x \phi^{-1}(w) - \frac{d}{dx}\, \delta^j(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0})
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\partial_y \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w) \right] \cdot \partial_x \phi^{-1}(w) \tag{A.1}$$

$$+\,\partial_x\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w)) - \frac{d}{dx}\,\delta^j(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0}).$$

Recall that $\psi_v^1(w) = H^{-1}(\sigma_0 w)$ and $\psi_c^1(w) = F\circ H^{-1}(\sigma_0 w)$. Since $\partial_x\delta_1^j(w) = \partial_x\mathrm{Pre}\,\delta_1^j(\sigma_0 w)$, equation (A.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x\delta_1^j(w) = {} & \Big[\,\partial_y\delta^j\circ\psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^m \partial_{z_l}\delta^j\circ\psi_c^1(w)\cdot\partial_x\delta^l\circ\psi_v^1(w)\Big]\cdot\partial_x\phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w)\\ & + \partial_x\delta^j\circ\psi_c^1(w) - \frac{d}{dx}\,\delta^j(\sigma_0 x,\ f^{-1}(\sigma_0 x), \mathbf{0})\end{aligned}$$

for each $1\le j\le m$. Let us calculate $\partial_y\mathrm{Pre}\,\delta_1^j(w)$

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_y\mathrm{Pre}\,\delta_1^j(w)\\ = {} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\,\big(\delta^j\circ F\circ H^{-1}(w)\big) - \frac{d}{dy}\,\delta^j(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0})\\ = {} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\,\delta^j(x,\ \phi^{-1}(w),\ \boldsymbol{\delta}\circ H(w))\\ = {} & \partial_y\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w))\cdot\partial_y\phi^{-1}(w) + \sum_{l=1}^m \partial_{z_l}\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w))\cdot\partial_y(\,\delta^l\circ H^{-1}(w))\\ = {} & \partial_y\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w))\cdot\partial_y\phi^{-1}(w) + \sum_{l=1}^m \partial_{z_l}\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w))\\ & \cdot\Big[\,\partial_x\delta^j\circ H^{-1}(w)\cdot\partial_y\phi^{-1}(w) + \partial_y\delta^j\circ H^{-1}(w) + \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{z_i}\delta^l\circ H^{-1}(w)\cdot\frac{d}{dy}\,\delta^i(y, f^{-1}(y), \mathbf{0})\Big]\\ = {} & \Big[\,\partial_y\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w)) + \sum_{l=1}^m \partial_{z_l}\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w))\cdot\partial_x\delta^l\circ H^{-1}(w)\Big]\cdot\partial_y\phi^{-1}(w)\\ & + \sum_{l=1}^m \partial_{z_l}\delta^j\circ(F\circ H^{-1}(w))\cdot\Big[\,\partial_y\delta^j\circ H^{-1}(w) + \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{z_i}\delta^l\circ H^{-1}(w)\cdot\frac{d}{dy}\,\delta^i(y, f^{-1}(y), \mathbf{0})\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_y\delta_1^j(w) = {} & \Big[\,\partial_y\delta^j\circ\psi_c^1(w) + \sum_{l=1}^m \partial_{z_l}\delta^j\circ\psi_c^1(w)\cdot\partial_x\delta^l\circ\psi_v^1(w)\Big]\cdot\partial_y\phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w)\\ & + \sum_{l=1}^m \partial_{z_l}\delta^j\circ\psi_c^1(w)\cdot\Big[\,\partial_y\delta^j\circ\psi_v^1(w) + \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{z_i}\delta^l\circ\psi_v^1(w)\cdot\frac{d}{dy}\,\delta^i(\sigma_0 y, f^{-1}(\sigma_0 y), \mathbf{0})\Big]\end{aligned}$$

for each $1\le j\le m$. Let us calculate $\partial_{z_i}\mathrm{Pre}\,\delta_1^j(w)$ for $1\le i\le m$

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{z_i}\mathrm{Pre}\,\delta_1^j(w)\\ = {} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\,\big(\delta^j\circ F\circ H^{-1}(w)\big) - \frac{d}{dz_i}\,\delta^j(x, f^{-1}(x), \mathbf{0})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\, \delta^j(x,\, \phi^{-1}(w),\, \boldsymbol{\delta} \circ H(w)) \\
&= \partial_y \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_{z_i} \phi^{-1}(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_{z_i} (\, \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w)) \\
&= \partial_y \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_{z_i} \phi^{-1}(w) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \Big[\partial_x \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w) \cdot \partial_{z_i} \phi^{-1}(w) + \partial_{z_i} \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w) \Big] \\
&= \Big[\partial_y \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w) \Big] \cdot \partial_{z_i} \phi^{-1}(w) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ (F \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{z_i} \delta^l \circ H^{-1}(w).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{z_i} \delta^j_1(w) = &\Big[\partial_y \delta^j \circ \psi^1_c(w) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi^1_c(w) \cdot \partial_x \delta^l \circ \psi^1_v(w) \Big] \cdot \partial_{z_i} \phi^{-1}(\sigma_0 w) \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{m} \partial_{z_l} \delta^j \circ \psi^1_c(w) \cdot \partial_{z_i} \delta^l \circ \psi^1_v(w)
\end{aligned}$$

for each $1 \leq i,\, j \leq m$. Hence, the proof is complete. □

B. Critical point of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map

The Hénon-like map F has the tip if it is infinitely renormalizable. Let τ_k be the tip of R^kF for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the *critical point* of R^kF, c_{F_k} as $(R^kF)^{-1}(\tau_k)$. For $k < n$, define $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}$ and $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}} &= \psi^{k+1}_v \circ \psi^{k+2}_v \circ \cdots \circ \psi^n_v \\
\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}} &= \psi^{k+1}_c \circ \psi^{k+2}_c \circ \cdots \circ \psi^n_c .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Recall the equation between renormalized map

$$F_{j+1} = \big(\psi^{j+1}_v\big)^{-1} \circ F^2_j \circ \psi^{j+1}_v$$

for $k \leq j < n$.

Lemma B.1. *Let F be the infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map. Then*

$$\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}} \circ F_n = F_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}$$

for $k < n$.

Proof. Recall the equation $\psi^{j+1}_c = F_j \circ \psi^{j+1}_v$ for $k \leq j < n$. Thus

$$F_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}} = F_k \circ \psi^{k+1}_c \circ \psi^{k+2}_c \circ \cdots \circ \psi^n_c$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \big[\, F_k \circ (F_k \circ \psi_v^{k+1}) \,\big] \circ (F_{k+1} \circ \psi_v^{k+2}) \circ \cdots \circ (F_{n-1} \cdot \psi_v^n) \\
&= \psi_v^{k+1} \circ \psi_v^{k+2} \circ F_{k+2} \circ (F_{k+2} \circ \psi_v^{k+3}) \circ \cdots \circ (F_{n-1} \circ \psi_v^n) \\
&\qquad\qquad \vdots \\
&= \psi_v^{k+1} \circ \psi_v^{k+2} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_v^n \circ F_n \\
&= \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}} \circ F_n
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Corollary B.2. *Let F be the infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map. Let c_{F_j} is the critical point of F_j for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$c_{F_k} = \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(c_{F_n})$$

for $k < n$. Moreover,

$$\{c_{F_k}\} = \bigcap_{i=k}^{\infty} \Psi^i_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(B).$$

Proof. Lemma B.1 implies

$$\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}} \circ F_n(w) = F_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(w)$$

for $k < n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(c_{F_n}) &= (F_k)^{-1} \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}} \circ F_n(c_{F_n}) \\
&= (F_k)^{-1} \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}(\tau_n) \\
&= (F_k)^{-1}(\tau_k) \\
&= c_{F_k}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above equation, $c_{F_k} \in \Psi^i_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(B)$ for every $i > k$. Furthermore,

$$\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\mathbf{c}}(B) \supset \Psi^{k+2}_{k,\mathbf{c}}(B) \supset \cdots$$

is the nested sequence by the definition of $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}$. Since diam $(\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}) \leq C\sigma^{n-k}$ where σ is the universal scaling factor for $k < n$ and for some $C > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \Psi^i_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(B) = \{c_{F_k}\}.$$

Hence, the intersection of $\Psi^i_{k,\,\mathbf{c}}(B)$ for all $i > k$ is the set of critical point of F_k. □

References

[BB] K. Brucks, H. Bruin Topics from One-Dimensional Dynamics, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 62, Cambridge University Press (2004)

[BC] M. Benedics, L. Carleson, The dynamics of the Hénon-map, Annals of Math. 133 (1991), p.73-169

[CT] P. Coullet, C. Tresser. Itération d'endomorphismes et groupe de renormalisation. J. Phys. Colloque C 539, C5(1978), 25-28.

[CLM] A. de Carvalho, M. Lyubich, M. Martens, Renormalization in the Hénon family, I : Universality but non-rigidity. J. Stat. Phys. 121 No. 516, (2005), 611-669

[Jak] M. Jakobson, Absolutely continuous invariant measures for one-parameter families of one- dimensional maps. Comm. Math. Phys. 81 (1981), 39-88.

[LM] M. Lyubich, M. Martens, Renormalization in the Hénon family, II : The heteroclinic web. Invent. math.,(2011), 186,115-189.

[HLM] P. Hazard, M. Lyubich, M. Martens. Renormalizable Hénon-like map and unbounded geometry. Nonliearity, 25(2):397-420, 2012.

[Lyu] M. Lyubich, Feigenbaum-Coullet-Tresser Universality and Milnor's Hairness Conjecture. Ann. of Math. v. 147 (1998), 543-584

[Nam1] Y. Nam, Renormalization of three dimensional Hénon-map I : Reduction of ambient space, Preprint (2014), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4289`

[Nam2] Y. Nam, Invariant space under Hénon renormalization : Intrinsic geometry of Cantor attractor, Preprint (2014), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4619v3`

[Nam3] Y. Nam, Renormalization of C^r Hénon map : Two dimensional embedded map in three dimension, preprint (2014), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.8337`

[Nam4] Y. Nam, Renormalization of Hénon-like maps in $m+2$ dimension I : Universality and reduction of ambient space, Preprint (2012), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.02223v2`

[WY1] Q. Wang and L.-S. Young, Strange attractors with one direction of instability, Commun. Math. Phys., 218 (2001), 1-97.

[WY2] Q. Wang and L.-S. Young, Towards a theory of rank one attractors. Annals of Math., 167(2008), 349-480.

YOUNG WOO NAM, E-MAIL : NAMYOUNGWOO@HONGIK.AC.KR ELLIPSE7 @ DAUM.NET